

# The Massachusetts Economic Due Diligence Report

FOURTH QUARTER FY 2014



Produced by the University of Massachusetts President's Office Donahue Institute, Economic and Public Policy Research  
Funding provided by the Massachusetts State Treasurer's Office of Debt Management



Cover photo: The Advanced Technology and Manufacturing Center of the University of Massachusetts Dartmouth is located in the South Coast Research and Technology Park, near the intersection of Routes 195 and 24 in Fall River, within a 60,000 sq. ft. state-of-the-art technology facility. Photo by UMass Dartmouth ATMC.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statistical Overview .....	A-1
Population Characteristics .....	A-2
Migration .....	A-7
Personal Income, Consumer Prices and Poverty .....	A-8
Personal Income .....	A-8
Annual Pay in Nominal Dollars .....	A-10
Wage and Salary Disbursements .....	A-10
Consumer Prices .....	A-11
Consumer Confidence, Present Situation and Future Expectations .....	A-13
Poverty .....	A-15
Transfer Payments .....	A-16
Health Insurance .....	A-17
Employment .....	A-18
Employment by Industry .....	A-18
Largest Employers in Massachusetts .....	A-20
Massachusetts Companies in the Fortune 500 .....	A-20
Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund .....	A-21
Unemployment .....	A-21
Education and Technology .....	A-24
Educational Attainment .....	A-24
Higher Education Data .....	A-25
Internet Access .....	A-27
Patents .....	A-27
Primary and Secondary Education Expenditures .....	A-28
National Assessment of Educational Progress .....	A-29
Economic Base and Performance .....	A-30
<i>Economic Indicators</i> .....	A-30
Comparing BEA GDP for the U.S., Region and Massachusetts .....	A-30
State Coincident Indexes .....	A-31
Institute for Supply Management Purchasing Manager Indexes .....	A-32
<i>International Trade</i> .....	A-33
Exports, Imports and Trade Deficit.....	A-33
<i>Industry Sector Detail (NAICS Basis)</i> .....	A-36
GDP Subsectors.....	A-36
Industry Sector Analysis .....	A-38
<i>Government Revenues and Spending</i> .....	A-59
Federal Spending in Massachusetts .....	A-59
Federal Contracts .....	A-61
State Revenues and Expenditures .....	A-64
Supplementary Section .....	A-Appendix-1
Building Permits .....	A-Appendix-2
Home Sales .....	A-Appendix-3
Home Prices .....	A-Appendix-4
Electricity Prices, Supply and Capacity by Source .....	A-Appendix-5
Travel and Tourism .....	A-Appendix-6
Transportation and Warehousing .....	A-Appendix-6
Exports .....	A-Appendix-8
Sources .....	A-Source List

## ECONOMIC INFORMATION - Quarter 4, FY 2014

The information in this section was prepared by the Massachusetts State Data Center (MassSDC) at the University of Massachusetts Donahue Institute Economic and Public Policy Research group. It may be relevant in evaluating the economic and financial condition and prospects of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The State Data Center archives data about Massachusetts. The economic and demographic data, which have been obtained by the MassSDC from the sources indicated, do not necessarily present all factors that may have a bearing on the Commonwealth's fiscal and economic affairs.

All information is presented on a calendar-year basis unless otherwise indicated. **The section was prepared for release on July 31, 2014. Information in the text, tables, charts, and graphs is current as of July 1, 2014.** Sources of information are indicated in the text or immediately following the charts and tables, and also from the *Sources* on the last page of the Exhibit A section. Although the Commonwealth considers the sources to be reliable, it makes no independent verification of the information presented herein and therefore does not warrant its accuracy.

### Statistical Overview

<u>Population</u> (p. A-2)	<u>Massachusetts</u>	<u>United States</u>
Estimated Percent Change in Population, July 1, 2010 - July 1, 2013	2.1%	2.2%
<u>Personal Income, Consumer Prices, and Poverty</u> (p. A-8)		
Per Capita Personal Income, 2013	\$56,923	\$44,543
Average Annual Pay, All Industries, 2013	\$61,794	\$49,804
Percent Change in CPI-U*, 2012-2013	1.4%	1.5%
Percent Change in CPI-U*, May 2013 - May 2014	2.1%	2.1%
Poverty Rate, 2012	11.9%	15.9%
Average Weekly Earnings, Manufacturing Production Workers: 2013	\$876.27	\$807.51
Percent Change from previous year	5.3%	1.6%
<u>Employment</u> (p. A-18)		
Percent Change in Nonfarm Payroll Employment (Not Seasonally Adjusted), May 2013 - May 2014p	1.5%	1.7%
Unemployment Rate, 2013	7.1%	7.4%
Unemployment Rate, June 2014 (seasonally adjusted)	5.5%	6.1%
<u>Education</u> (p. A-24)		
Expenditure Per Pupil K-12 Public, 2012	\$14,142	\$10,608
Percent of Adults with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher, 2012	39.3%	29.1%
<u>Economic Base and Performance</u> (p. A-30)		
Percent Change in Gross Domestic Product, 2012-2013	1.6%	1.8%
Percent Change in International Exports, 2012-2013	4.6%	2.1%
Percent Change in Housing Permits Authorized, 2012-2013	31.1%	19.4%

\*NOTE: Percent changes in the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) are for the Boston-Worcester-Lawrence, MA-NH-ME-CT CMSA & the United States. p = preliminary.

Massachusetts is a densely populated state with a well-educated population, comparatively high income levels, and a relatively diversified economy. While the total population of Massachusetts has remained fairly stable in the last 25 years, significant changes have occurred in the age distribution of the population. Dramatic growth in residents between the ages of 20 and 44 since 1980 is expected to lead to a population distributed more heavily in the 65 and over age group in the next 25 years. Just as the working-age population has increased, income levels in Massachusetts since 1980 have grown significantly more than the national average, and a variety of measures of income show that Massachusetts residents have significantly higher amounts of annual income than the national average. These higher levels of income have been accompanied by a consistently lower poverty rate, and with the exception of the recession of the early 1990s and a seventeen-month stretch between 2006 and 2007, considerably lower unemployment rates in Massachusetts than in the United States since 1980. The state unemployment has risen 0.2 percent since March 2013 and now stands at 6.6 percent for March 2014, close to the national rate of 6.8 percent. In 2011, Massachusetts was ranked second in the U.S. according to the American Human Development Index, modeled after the United Nations Human Development Index, which compares health, income, and education outcomes.

The following five sections provide detailed information on population characteristics, personal income, employment, human resources, economic base and performance, and infrastructure.

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS


Massachusetts is a relatively slow growing but densely populated state with a comparatively large percentage of its residents living in metropolitan areas. The population density of Massachusetts was estimated as of July 1, 2013 to be 858.1 persons per square mile, as compared to 89.5 for the United States as a whole. Among the 50 states, only Rhode Island and New Jersey have a greater population density. Massachusetts also ranked just behind the same two states in percentage of residents living in metropolitan areas. According to the current county-based definition, 98.5 percent of the state's population live in metropolitan areas.

The state's population is concentrated in its eastern portion. The city of Boston is the largest city in New England, with a July 1, 2013 population estimated at 645,966 or 9.7 percent of the total state population. Boston is the hub of the seven-county Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA), which includes the two southeastern New Hampshire counties, and had a total population as of July 1, 2013 estimated at 4,684,299, or 32 percent of the total New England population. The three-county Boston-Quincy, MA Metropolitan division is the largest component of that MSA, with a total population as of July 1, 2013 estimated at 1,945,220.

The second largest MSA in the state is the Worcester, MA MSA, with a July 1, 2013 population estimated at 926,710. The city of Worcester, situated approximately 40 miles west of Boston with a July 1, 2013 estimated population of 182,544, is the second largest city, both in New England and the state. As a major medical and education center, the Worcester area is home to 18 patient care facilities, and 13 colleges and universities, including the University of Massachusetts Medical School.

The third largest MSA in Massachusetts is the three-county Springfield, MA MSA, with a July 1, 2013 population estimated at 626,915. Springfield, the third largest city in the Commonwealth with a July 1, 2013 estimated population of 153,703, is located in the Connecticut River Valley in Western Massachusetts and enjoys a diverse body of corporate employers, the largest of which are Baystate Health Systems, Big Y Supermarkets, Hartford Hospital, and MassMutual Financial Group. In addition, Springfield is home to three independent colleges.

As the following graph and table indicate, the population in Massachusetts generally grows at a rate similar to the population of New England and more slowly than the nation as a whole. According to the Census Bureau's latest revised estimates released in December 2013, the Massachusetts population has only grown by 5.2 percent since Census 2000, while the U.S. has grown 12 percent.



SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.  
 NOTE: Figures for all years shown are estimates as of July 1.

The following table compares the population level and percentage change in the population of Massachusetts to the six-state New England region and to the United States.


<i>Population, 1974-2013</i> <i>(in thousands)</i>						
<b>Year</b>	<b>Massachusetts</b>		<b>New England</b>		<b>United States</b>	
	<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Percent Change</b>
<b>1974</b>	5,774	-0.1%	12,146	0.0%	213,342	0.9%
<b>1975</b>	5,758	-0.3%	12,163	0.1%	215,465	1.0%
<b>1976</b>	5,744	-0.2%	12,192	0.2%	217,563	1.0%
<b>1977</b>	5,738	-0.1%	12,239	0.4%	219,760	1.0%
<b>1978</b>	5,736	0.0%	12,283	0.4%	222,095	1.1%
<b>1979</b>	5,738	0.0%	12,322	0.3%	224,567	1.1%
<b>1980</b>	5,737	0.0%	12,348	0.2%	226,546	0.9%
<b>1981</b>	5,769	0.6%	12,436	0.7%	229,466	1.3%
<b>1982</b>	5,771	0.0%	12,468	0.3%	231,664	1.0%
<b>1983</b>	5,799	0.5%	12,544	0.6%	233,792	0.9%
<b>1984</b>	5,841	0.7%	12,642	0.8%	235,825	0.9%
<b>1985</b>	5,881	0.7%	12,741	0.8%	237,924	0.9%
<b>1986</b>	5,903	0.4%	12,833	0.7%	240,133	0.9%
<b>1987</b>	5,935	0.5%	12,951	0.9%	242,289	0.9%
<b>1988</b>	5,980	0.8%	13,085	1.0%	244,499	0.9%
<b>1989</b>	6,015	0.6%	13,182	0.7%	246,819	0.9%
<b>1990</b>	6,023	0.1%	13,230	0.4%	249,623	1.1%
<b>1991</b>	6,018	-0.1%	13,248	0.1%	252,981	1.3%
<b>1992</b>	6,029	0.2%	13,271	0.2%	256,514	1.4%
<b>1993</b>	6,061	0.5%	13,334	0.5%	259,919	1.3%
<b>1994</b>	6,095	0.6%	13,396	0.5%	263,126	1.2%
<b>1995</b>	6,141	0.8%	13,473	0.6%	266,278	1.2%
<b>1996</b>	6,180	0.6%	13,555	0.6%	269,394	1.2%
<b>1997</b>	6,226	0.7%	13,642	0.6%	272,647	1.2%
<b>1998</b>	6,272	0.7%	13,734	0.7%	275,854	1.2%
<b>1999</b>	6,317	0.7%	13,838	0.8%	279,040	1.2%
<b>2000</b>	6,361	0.7%	13,950	0.8%	282,162	1.1%
<b>2001</b>	6,398	0.6%	14,041	0.7%	284,969	1.0%
<b>2002</b>	6,417	0.3%	14,122	0.6%	287,625	0.9%
<b>2003</b>	6,423	0.1%	14,182	0.4%	290,108	0.9%
<b>2004</b>	6,412	-0.2%	14,207	0.2%	292,805	0.9%
<b>2005</b>	6,403	-0.1%	14,217	0.1%	295,517	0.9%
<b>2006</b>	6,410	0.1%	14,246	0.2%	298,380	1.0%
<b>2007</b>	6,432	0.3%	14,279	0.2%	301,231	1.0%
<b>2008</b>	6,469	0.6%	14,340	0.4%	304,094	1.0%
<b>2009</b>	6,518	0.8%	14,404	0.4%	306,772	0.9%
<b>2010</b>	6,557	0.6%	14,457	0.4%	309,350	0.8%
<b>2011</b>	6,607	0.8%	14,517	0.4%	311,588	0.7%
<b>2012</b>	6,646	0.6%	14,563	0.3%	313,914	0.7%
<b>2013</b>	6,693	0.7%	14,619	0.4%	316,129	0.7%

SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census.  
NOTE: Figures for all years shown are estimates as of July 1.

The next 16 years are expected to bring about a continued change in the age distribution of the Massachusetts population. As the following table and chart show, the share of the 65 and over age group and especially the 85 and over age group will continue to grow. The chart, table, and population pyramids (below, and on the following page) show the projected population by age for Massachusetts for 2000 through 2030. Census has not updated these projections to reflect the 2010 Census.

<i>Projected Massachusetts Population by Age Group 2000-2030 (in thousands)</i>									
<b>Year</b>	<b>0-4</b>	<b>5-17</b>	<b>18-24</b>	<b>25-44</b>	<b>45-64</b>	<b>65-84</b>	<b>85+</b>	<b>All Ages</b>	<b>Median Age</b>
<b>2000</b>	397.3	1,102.8	579.3	1,989.8	1,419.8	743.5	116.7	6,349.1	36.5
<b>2005</b>	395.1	1,055.6	488.9	1,844.0	1,602.5	693.1	103.7	6,182.9	38.2
<b>2010</b>	400.7	1,083.1	670.2	1,769.7	1,817.1	750.6	158.0	6,649.4	38.8
<b>2015</b>	409.7	1,064.2	656.0	1,746.1	1,857.1	856.5	168.9	6,758.6	39.2
<b>2020</b>	422.3	1,070.9	617.5	1,775.8	1,809.3	987.8	172.0	6,855.5	39.5
<b>2025</b>	431.0	1,087.7	616.2	1,782.5	1,703.3	1,137.8	180.1	6,938.6	39.7
<b>2030</b>	430.6	1,115.0	610.7	1,783.9	1,608.7	1,251.2	211.9	7,012.0	40.2

*Projected Massachusetts Population by Age Group  
2000-2030*




SOURCE: Interim Population Projections through 2030 released April 21, 2005 by the Population Division, Bureau of the Census, United States Department of Commerce.  
NOTE: Actual Census 2000 counts as of April 1; Population Estimates for 2005 as of July 1; all other figures are projections as of July 1 of the indicated year.

## Population Pyramids of Massachusetts


### Percent of Total Population

**2010**




SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

**2030**




SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Interim State Population Projections, 2005.  
Internet Release Date: April 21, 2005.

**Migration.** Migration is one of several components of annual population change in Massachusetts. The movement of people from place to place is often linked to economic opportunities or downturns. These data are derived from the filing addresses and number of exemptions submitted with federal tax returns. A tax filer is considered a migrant when he/she files a tax return with an address different from the previous year's filing address. Of the New England states, New Hampshire was the largest net loss for Massachusetts and Connecticut was the largest net gain for Massachusetts from 2000 through 2011. Massachusetts also sends many more migrants to Florida, California, Texas and North Carolina than it gains. The chart below illustrates the net migration for Massachusetts to and from the New England states and the rest of the country using IRS data.



## PERSONAL INCOME, CONSUMER PRICES, AND POVERTY

**Personal Income.** Real per capita income levels in Massachusetts increased faster than the national average between 1994 and 1997. In 2000, Massachusetts had its highest per capita income growth in 16 years, exceeding the national growth rate by 2.4 percentage points. From 2001 to 2003, real income declined in Massachusetts while staying roughly flat for the nation. However, real income levels in Massachusetts remained well above the national average. In 2009, Massachusetts, New England, and the U.S. experienced the biggest decline in income in over four decades but have increased over the last three years. However, from 2006 to 2011, Massachusetts personal income either declined more slowly, or grew more quickly, than the nation during the recession and its aftermath. Only the District of Columbia and Connecticut have had higher levels of per capita personal income. The years 2012 and 2013 show that Massachusetts and the U.S. are more recently experiencing similar increases in real income. The following graph illustrates these changes in real per capita personal income in Massachusetts, New England, and the United States since 1970.



The following table compares per capita personal income in Massachusetts, New England, and the United States for the period 1970-2013.

<i>Per Capita Personal Income, 1970-2013</i>									
Year	Nominal Income (in current dollars)			Real Income (in 2013 dollars)			Percent Change in Real Income		
	MA	N.E.	U.S.	MA	N.E.	U.S.	MA	N.E.	U.S.
1970	\$4,472	\$4,438	\$4,084	\$27,933	\$27,627	\$24,525	7.9%	8.2%	9.4%
1971	\$4,743	\$4,674	\$4,340	\$28,222	\$27,644	\$24,968	1.0%	0.1%	1.8%
1972	\$5,102	\$5,025	\$4,717	\$29,316	\$28,567	\$26,293	3.9%	3.3%	5.3%
1973	\$5,541	\$5,477	\$5,230	\$30,051	\$29,328	\$27,446	2.5%	2.7%	4.4%
1974	\$6,011	\$5,954	\$5,708	\$29,480	\$28,676	\$26,977	-1.9%	-2.2%	-1.7%
1975	\$6,453	\$6,376	\$6,172	\$29,039	\$28,452	\$26,730	-1.5%	-0.8%	-0.9%
1976	\$6,993	\$6,954	\$6,754	\$29,266	\$29,348	\$27,657	0.8%	3.1%	3.5%
1977	\$7,611	\$7,586	\$7,402	\$30,287	\$30,320	\$28,460	3.5%	3.3%	2.9%
1978	\$8,422	\$8,407	\$8,243	\$31,849	\$31,621	\$29,457	5.2%	4.3%	3.5%
1979	\$9,371	\$9,381	\$9,138	\$32,146	\$32,086	\$29,327	0.9%	1.5%	-0.4%
1980	\$10,570	\$10,598	\$10,091	\$32,132	\$32,103	\$28,534	0.0%	0.1%	-2.7%
1981	\$11,744	\$11,800	\$11,209	\$32,123	\$32,288	\$28,732	0.0%	0.6%	0.7%
1982	\$12,892	\$12,833	\$11,901	\$33,897	\$33,355	\$28,735	5.5%	3.3%	0.0%
1983	\$13,942	\$13,770	\$12,583	\$35,079	\$34,356	\$29,436	3.5%	3.0%	2.4%
1984	\$15,639	\$15,342	\$13,807	\$37,507	\$36,557	\$30,963	6.9%	6.4%	5.2%
1985	\$16,798	\$16,440	\$14,637	\$38,556	\$37,763	\$31,695	2.8%	3.3%	2.4%
1986	\$18,003	\$17,592	\$15,338	\$40,290	\$39,428	\$32,607	4.5%	4.4%	2.9%
1987	\$19,397	\$18,958	\$16,137	\$41,593	\$40,694	\$33,098	3.2%	3.2%	1.5%
1988	\$21,127	\$20,612	\$17,244	\$42,713	\$42,138	\$33,963	2.7%	3.5%	2.6%
1989	\$22,095	\$21,848	\$18,402	\$42,255	\$42,303	\$34,578	-1.1%	0.4%	1.8%
1990	\$22,797	\$22,462	\$19,354	\$41,212	\$41,035	\$34,503	-2.5%	-3.0%	-0.2%
1991	\$23,314	\$22,867	\$19,818	\$40,373	\$39,957	\$33,903	-2.0%	-2.6%	-1.7%
1992	\$24,422	\$24,077	\$20,799	\$41,268	\$40,700	\$34,541	2.2%	1.9%	1.9%
1993	\$25,182	\$24,773	\$21,385	\$41,355	\$40,743	\$34,482	0.2%	0.1%	-0.2%
1994	\$26,393	\$25,804	\$22,297	\$42,784	\$41,426	\$35,055	3.5%	1.7%	1.7%
1995	\$27,662	\$27,048	\$23,262	\$43,795	\$42,332	\$35,565	2.4%	2.2%	1.5%
1996	\$29,279	\$28,521	\$24,442	\$45,021	\$43,409	\$36,297	2.8%	2.5%	2.1%
1997	\$30,911	\$30,087	\$25,654	\$46,228	\$44,700	\$37,242	2.7%	3.0%	2.6%
1998	\$33,006	\$32,128	\$27,258	\$48,269	\$47,058	\$38,964	4.4%	5.3%	4.6%
1999	\$34,671	\$33,581	\$28,333	\$49,465	\$48,194	\$39,625	2.5%	2.4%	1.7%
2000	\$38,222	\$36,610	\$30,319	\$52,274	\$50,813	\$41,024	5.7%	5.4%	3.5%
2001	\$39,547	\$37,996	\$31,157	\$51,855	\$51,307	\$40,991	-0.8%	1.0%	-0.1%
2002	\$39,597	\$38,131	\$31,481	\$50,600	\$50,450	\$40,773	-2.4%	-1.7%	-0.5%
2003	\$40,264	\$38,798	\$32,295	\$49,585	\$49,926	\$40,895	-2.0%	-1.0%	0.3%
2004	\$42,276	\$40,837	\$33,909	\$50,671	\$50,791	\$41,825	2.2%	1.7%	2.3%
2005	\$44,097	\$42,376	\$35,452	\$51,168	\$50,851	\$42,296	1.0%	0.1%	1.1%
2006	\$47,559	\$45,627	\$37,725	\$53,528	\$52,842	\$43,601	4.6%	3.9%	3.1%
2007	\$50,150	\$48,223	\$39,506	\$55,375	\$54,453	\$44,395	3.4%	3.0%	1.8%
2008	\$51,902	\$49,726	\$40,947	\$55,371	\$53,997	\$44,313	0.0%	-0.8%	-0.2%
2009	\$49,578	\$47,344	\$38,637	\$53,252	\$51,402	\$41,962	-3.8%	-4.8%	-5.3%
2010	\$51,143	\$49,056	\$39,791	\$54,084	\$52,230	\$42,518	1.6%	1.6%	1.3%
2011	\$53,471	\$51,274	\$41,560	\$55,054	\$52,977	\$43,049	1.8%	1.4%	1.2%
2012	\$55,976	\$53,600	\$43,735	\$56,744	\$54,320	\$44,383	3.1%	2.5%	3.1%
2013	\$56,923	\$54,640	\$44,543	\$56,923	\$54,640	\$44,543	0.3%	0.6%	0.4%

SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

NOTES: Using midyear population estimates from the Census Bureau and two CPI-U series from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics for price inflation.


**Annual Pay in Nominal Dollars.** Massachusetts saw steady growth in average annual pay for most of the past decade, lost ground in 2009, but resumed growth in 2010. Average annual pay is computed by dividing the total annual payroll of employees covered by Unemployment Insurance programs by the average monthly number of employees. Data are reported by employers covered under the Unemployment Insurance programs. Since 2003, average annual wages in the state have grown at an annual rate of 2.9 percent, compared to 2.8 percent for the nation. The level of average annual pay in Massachusetts in 2013 was 24.1 percent higher than the national average: \$61,794 compared to \$49,804.

**Wage and Salary Disbursements.** Wage and salary disbursements by place of work is a component of personal income and measures monetary disbursements to employees. This includes compensation of corporate officers, commissions, tips, bonuses, and receipts in-kind. Although the data are recorded on a place-of-work basis, they are then adjusted to a place-of-residence basis so that the personal income of the recipients whose place of residence differs from their place of work will be correctly assigned to their state of residence. The table below details Wage and Salary Disbursements since 1990. Between 1998 and 2000, the Massachusetts share of the New England and overall U.S. totals increased. In subsequent years, the Massachusetts share of New England and the U.S. decreased slightly until 2006 when it began to rise again, reaching 51.3 and 2.9 percent respectively in 2013.

<b>Annual Wage and Salary Disbursements, 1990-2013</b> (in millions of dollars)				
<b>Year</b>	<b>U.S.</b>	<b>N.E.</b>	<b>MA</b>	<b>MA as a pct. of N.E.</b>
<b>1990</b>	\$ 2,730	\$170	\$82	48.4%
<b>1991</b>	\$ 2,802	\$169	\$82	48.3%
<b>1992</b>	\$ 2,965	\$177	\$85	48.3%
<b>1993</b>	\$ 3,070	\$182	\$88	48.5%
<b>1994</b>	\$ 3,226	\$189	\$92	48.8%
<b>1995</b>	\$ 3,414	\$201	\$98	49.0%
<b>1996</b>	\$ 3,612	\$212	\$105	49.3%
<b>1997</b>	\$ 3,872	\$229	\$113	49.3%
<b>1998</b>	\$ 4,177	\$246	\$122	49.5%
<b>1999</b>	\$ 4,457	\$264	\$133	50.2%
<b>2000</b>	\$ 4,824	\$292	\$149	51.2%
<b>2001</b>	\$ 4,950	\$299	\$152	50.7%
<b>2002</b>	\$ 4,993	\$297	\$149	50.1%
<b>2003</b>	\$ 5,134	\$303	\$151	49.6%
<b>2004</b>	\$ 5,417	\$320	\$159	49.6%
<b>2005</b>	\$ 5,689	\$331	\$163	49.4%
<b>2006</b>	\$ 6,052	\$349	\$173	49.5%
<b>2007</b>	\$ 6,391	\$369	\$184	49.9%
<b>2008</b>	\$ 6,529	\$378	\$190	50.2%
<b>2009</b>	\$ 6,244	\$362	\$182	50.3%
<b>2010</b>	\$ 6,369	\$371	\$188	50.6%
<b>2011</b>	\$ 6,628	\$385	\$196	50.8%
<b>2012</b>	\$ 6,917	\$398	\$203	51.1%
<b>2013</b>	\$ 7,129	\$409	\$210	51.3%

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.

**Consumer Prices.** Higher income levels in Massachusetts relative to the rest of the United States are offset to some extent by the higher cost of living in Massachusetts. The following graph presents consumer price trends for the Boston metropolitan area and the United States for the period between January 2004 and May 2014. The table on the following page shows the annual average of the Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U) and the percentage change in that average from the previous year. In 2009, the Boston metropolitan area and U.S. experienced their first monthly year-over-year declines in the CPI-U since 1954 and 1955, respectively. The 2013 U.S. CPI-U has increased 8.6 percent since 2009. Boston's CPI-U only increased 7.4 percent during that period. The latest available data for May 2014 show that the CPI-U for the Boston metropolitan area increased at a rate of 2.1 percent over May 2013, while the U.S. index also increased by 2.1 percent over the same period.




**Consumer Price Index for All Urban Consumers (CPI-U), 1970-2014***(not seasonally adjusted; 1982-1984 base period average=100)*

Year	Boston Metro Area		United States	
	CPI-U	Pct.Change	CPI-U	Pct. Change
1970	40.2		38.8	
1971	42.2	5.0%	40.5	4.4%
1972	43.7	3.6%	41.8	3.2%
1973	46.3	5.9%	44.4	6.2%
1974	51.2	10.6%	49.3	11.0%
1975	55.8	9.0%	53.8	9.1%
1976	60.0	7.5%	56.9	5.8%
1977	63.1	5.2%	60.6	6.5%
1978	66.4	5.2%	65.2	7.6%
1979	73.2	10.2%	72.6	11.3%
1980	82.6	12.8%	82.4	13.5%
1981	91.8	11.1%	90.9	10.3%
1982	95.5	4.0%	96.5	6.2%
1983	99.8	4.5%	99.6	3.2%
1984	104.7	4.9%	103.9	4.3%
1985	109.4	4.5%	107.6	3.6%
1986	112.2	2.6%	109.6	1.9%
1987	117.1	4.4%	113.6	3.6%
1988	124.2	6.1%	118.3	4.1%
1989	131.3	5.7%	124.0	4.8%
1990	138.9	5.8%	130.7	5.4%
1991	145.0	4.4%	136.2	4.2%
1992	148.6	2.5%	140.3	3.0%
1993	152.9	2.9%	144.5	3.0%
1994	154.9	1.3%	148.2	2.6%
1995	158.6	2.4%	152.4	2.8%
1996	163.3	3.0%	156.9	3.0%
1997	167.9	2.8%	160.5	2.3%
1998	171.7	2.3%	163.0	1.6%
1999	176.0	2.5%	166.6	2.2%
2000	183.6	4.3%	172.2	3.4%
2001	191.5	4.3%	177.1	2.8%
2002	196.5	2.6%	179.9	1.6%
2003	203.9	3.8%	184.0	2.3%
2004	209.5	2.7%	188.9	2.7%
2005	216.4	3.3%	195.3	3.4%
2006	223.1	3.1%	201.6	3.2%
2007	227.4	1.9%	207.3	2.8%
2008	235.4	3.5%	215.3	3.8%
2009	233.8	-0.7%	214.5	-0.4%
2010	237.4	1.6%	218.1	1.6%
2011	243.9	2.7%	224.9	3.2%
2012	247.7	1.6%	229.6	2.1%
2013	251.1	1.4%	233.0	1.5%
May-13	250.0		232.9	
May-14	255.2	2.1%	237.9	2.1%

SOURCE: United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Consumer Confidence, Present Situation, and Future Expectations.** These three measures offer multiple insights into consumer attitudes. The U.S. measures are compiled from a national monthly survey of 5,000 households and are published by The Conference Board, Inc. The survey for Massachusetts is conducted in a similar manner and the results are published by the Mass Insight Corporation, based on quarterly polling of 500 adult residents of Massachusetts. The "Present Situation" index measures consumers' appraisal of business and employment conditions at the time of the survey. The "Future Expectations" index focuses on consumers' expectations for six months hence regarding business and employment conditions, as well as expected family income. The overall "Consumer Confidence" index is a weighted average of the two sub-indices. Although the U.S. measures are compiled by a different source than the Massachusetts measures, according to the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston, the numbers are generally comparable. A score of 100 is considered neutral.

According to the Conference Board, consumer confidence nationally reached a six-year high point of 111.9 in July 2007, followed by an all time low of 25.3 in February 2009. The Massachusetts index has been higher than the U.S. index since sharing lows in January 2009, except for a brief period when they tracked closely together in 2011 and a slight dip in July of 2013. The Mass Insight Corporation reported that, from January 2014, the Massachusetts index increased 6 points to a value of 88 in April. In contrast, the U.S. index increased 1.4 points to 82.3 over the same period. The following graph and table detail the recent record of these measures.



*Consumer Confidence, Present Situation, and Future  
Expectations for Massachusetts and the U.S.  
(1985=100)*

	Consumer Confidence		Present Situation		Future Expectations	
	MA	U.S.	MA	U.S.	MA	U.S.
<b>Jan-04</b>	91.0	97.7	48.0	86.1	119.0	105.3
<b>Apr-04</b>	89.0	93.0	53.0	90.4	113.0	94.8
<b>Jul-04</b>	97.0	105.7	66.0	106.4	119.0	105.3
<b>Oct-04</b>	90.0	92.9	64.0	94.0	108.0	92.2
<b>Jan-05</b>	96.0	105.1	70.0	112.1	114.0	100.4
<b>Apr-05</b>	78.0	97.5	63.0	113.8	88.0	86.7
<b>Jul-05</b>	91.0	103.6	80.0	119.3	99.0	93.2
<b>Oct-05</b>	88.0	85.2	80.0	107.8	95.0	70.1
<b>Jan-06</b>	81.0	106.8	71.0	128.8	87.0	92.1
<b>Apr-06</b>	76.0	109.8	77.0	136.2	76.0	92.3
<b>Jul-06</b>	76.0	107.0	68.0	134.2	81.0	88.9
<b>Oct-06</b>	101.0	105.1	86.0	125.1	111.0	91.9
<b>Jan-07</b>	92.0	110.2	74.0	133.9	104.0	94.4
<b>Apr-07</b>	97.0	106.3	89.0	133.5	102.0	88.2
<b>Jul-07</b>	85.0	111.9	80.0	138.3	90.0	94.4
<b>Oct-07</b>	82.0	87.8	76.0	115.7	86.0	69.1
<b>Jan-08</b>	62.0	87.3	49.0	114.3	71.0	69.3
<b>Apr-08</b>	54.0	62.8	35.0	81.9	67.0	50.0
<b>Jul-08</b>	50.0	51.9	24.0	65.8	68.0	42.7
<b>Oct-08</b>	51.0	38.8	27.0	43.5	66.0	35.7
<b>Jan-09</b>	38.0	37.4	9.0	29.7	58.0	42.5
<b>Apr-09</b>	65.0	40.8	24.0	25.5	92.0	51.0
<b>Jul-09</b>	69.0	47.4	16.0	23.3	105.0	63.4
<b>Oct-09</b>	61.0	48.7	14.0	21.1	93.0	67.0
<b>Jan-10</b>	73.0	56.5	14.0	25.2	112.0	77.3
<b>Apr-10</b>	80.0	57.7	22.0	28.2	119.0	77.4
<b>Jul-10</b>	61.0	51.0	16.0	26.4	91.0	67.5
<b>Oct-10</b>	65.0	49.9	15.0	23.5	99.0	67.5
<b>Jan-11</b>	74.0	64.8	17.0	31.1	112.0	87.3
<b>Apr-11</b>	67.0	66.0	24.0	40.2	96.0	83.2
<b>Jul-11</b>	56.0	59.5	20.0	35.7	80.0	75.4
<b>Oct-11</b>	64.0	40.9	24.0	27.1	91.0	50.0
<b>Jan-12</b>	84.0	61.5	34.0	38.8	118.0	76.7
<b>Apr-12</b>	85.0	68.7	41.0	51.2	114.0	80.4
<b>Jul-12</b>	81.0	65.4	40.0	45.9	109.0	78.4
<b>Oct-12</b>	91.0	73.1	48.0	56.7	120.0	84.0
<b>Jan-13</b>	82.0	58.6	48.0	57.3	105.0	59.5
<b>Apr-13</b>	87.0	69.0	54.0	61.0	109.0	74.3
<b>Jul-13</b>	80.0	80.3	56.0	73.6	97.0	84.7
<b>Oct-13</b>	86.0	72.4	64.0	72.6	101.0	72.2
<b>Jan-14</b>	82.0	80.7	55.0	79.1	99.0	81.8
<b>Apr-14</b>	88.0	82.3	66.0	78.3	103.0	84.9


SOURCES: The Conference Board, Inc. (for U.S. Measures, seasonally adjusted);  
Mass Insight Corporation (for MA measures, not seasonally adjusted).

**Poverty.** Since 2005, the Massachusetts poverty rate, which was 11.9 percent in 2012, has been three to four percentage points lower than the national average, which was 15.9 percent in 2012. Massachusetts ranked forty-first out of the fifty states and the District of Columbia in 2012, for percent of persons whose ratio of income to the poverty level was below 100 percent in the past twelve months. Poverty status is not determined for all people. Institutionalized people, people in military quarters, people in college dormitories, and unrelated individuals under 15 years old are excluded.



**Transfer Payments.** Transfer payment income is payment to individuals from all levels of government and from businesses for which no current services are performed, including payments to nonprofit institutions serving individuals. These payments accounted for 15.3 percent of total personal income in Massachusetts in 2012, dropping from 15.9 percent in 2011. The chart below does not include transfer payments from business or payments to non-profit organizations. Total transfer payments to individuals in Massachusetts from governments and businesses totaled \$56.7 billion for 2012. Nearly 50 percent of government transfer payments to individuals were medical payments, up from just over 48 percent in 2011.


**Transfer Payments from Governments to Individuals in  
Massachusetts in 2012**  
(From Annual State Personal Income Estimates)  
(in thousands of current dollars)



SOURCE: U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis, Annual State Personal Income Estimates.

NOTE: The category "other" includes veterans' benefit payments, federal education and training assistance payments, and a small residual of miscellaneous other payments to individuals.

**Health Insurance Coverage.** Massachusetts leads the nation in the percent of individuals with health insurance coverage. This is mostly the result of the law passed in 2006 mandating universal coverage in the Commonwealth. In 2012, 96.1 percent of the civilian non-institutionalized population was covered in the state by either public or private insurance, compared with 85.2 percent nationwide. Massachusetts also leads the other New England states in coverage, with Vermont as the next closest at 93.5 percent. All of the New England states have higher rates of coverage than the nation. These data do not indicate the comprehensiveness of coverage, however.




## EMPLOYMENT

**Employment by Industry.** The chart on this page shows the annual level of non-agricultural payroll employment in Massachusetts based on the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) for the seven largest NAICS supersectors starting with 1990, the earliest year for which NAICS data are available. The chart on the following page compares the super-sector shares for the 2012-2013 period with the corresponding shares for the 1992-1993 period. Like many industrial states, Massachusetts has seen a steady decline of its manufacturing jobs base over the last two decades, not only as a share of total employment, but in absolute numbers of jobs as well. Several NAICS service sectors, Education and Health Services, Professional and Business Services, and Leisure and Hospitality have grown to take the place of manufacturing in driving the Massachusetts economy and now account for almost half of total payroll employment, while Financial Activities, Government, Information, and Trade, Transportation & Utilities have remained relatively level or declined in share.

After significant declines in 2002 and 2003, total non-agricultural employment in Massachusetts eventually increased 0.5 percent in 2005 and continued to increase every year through 2008. After a large dip in 2009, employment grew steadily through 2013 when Non-Farm employment grew a significant 3.1 percent. The seasonally adjusted estimate for 2013 is about 3.4 million. October of 2013 also had the highest number to date, over 3.4 million; well above the previous peak months in 2001 (3.38 million in February 2001) and about 10 thousand more than the last peak in April 2008 ( 3.30 million).

After years of moderate but steady declines or near-zero growth in the late nineties and early 2000s, manufacturing employment in the state experienced steep annual declines in 2002 (10.2 percent) and 2003 (7.0 percent) before returning to more moderate declines in 2004 (3.5 percent). After a steep decline of 9.5 percent in 2009, the decline returned to a less dramatic 2.2 percent in 2010. Employment grew slightly in 2011 at 0.2 percent and continues at a steady increase as of December 2013. The seasonally adjusted estimate for 2013 was slightly lower than the 2012 estimate (250 compared to 252 thousand).

*Annual Average Employment in Massachusetts,  
NAICS Super-Sectors, 1990-2013*




SOURCE: MA Executive Office of Workforce and Labor Development.


\*Includes Mining & Natural Resources, Construction, Information, and Other Services.

**Massachusetts Non-Farm Payroll Employment**  
**(NAICS Industry basis)**

**NAICS Super-Sectors: 2012-2013 Average Share**



**NAICS Super-Sectors: 1992-1993 Average Share**



SOURCE: MA Executive Office of Labor and Workforce Development.

**Largest Employers in Massachusetts.** The following inset lists the 25 largest private employers in Massachusetts based upon employment covered by the Unemployment Insurance system for June 2013. The TJX, Stop & Shop and Partners Healthcare replace Bank of America, Macy's and the S & S Credit Company from the December 2011 list. As noted, the list may not include some employers who do business in the state under multiple legal names or register each facility as a separate employer.

***Twenty-five Largest Private Employers in Massachusetts in June 2013***  
(listed alphabetically)

Baystate Medical Center, Inc.	Raytheon Company
Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center	Shaw's Supermarkets, Inc.
Boston Medical Center Corporation	Southcoast Hospitals Group, Inc.
Boston University	State Street Bank & Trust Company
Brigham & Women's Hospital, Inc.	Target Corporation
CVS Pharmacy, LLC	The Children's Hospital Corporation
Demoulas Super Markets, Inc.	The Stop & Shop Supermarkets Corporation
E.M.C. Corporation	The TJX Companies, Inc.
General Hospital Corporation	UMass Memorial Medical Center
Harvard University	United Parcel Service, Inc.
Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.	Verizon New England, Inc.
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	Wal-Mart Associates, Inc.
Partners Healthcare Systems, Inc.	

SOURCE: Massachusetts Executive Office of Labor & Workforce Development, Research Department, 11-25-13.  
NOTES: This alphabetic listing includes private employers reporting large numbers of jobs covered by the MA unemployment insurance program. The information is based on the June 2013 employment for employers as registered for unemployment insurance. The list may not include those employers who do business in MA under multiple legal corporations and those who register each store, facility or franchisee as a separate employer.

**Massachusetts Companies in the Fortune 500 List.** The economic base of Massachusetts is anchored by the twelve 2014 Fortune 500 companies headquartered here, the same twelve as 2013. When comparing the 2014 Fortune 500 list to the 2013, seven Massachusetts companies gained and five lost rank. Biogen located in Weston, climbed 79 places on the list, the largest leap for a Massachusetts company.

***Massachusetts Companies in the 2014 Fortune 500***

Rank		Company (location)	Industry	2013 revenues
2014	2013			(billions)
76	81	Liberty Mutual Ins. Group (Boston)	Insurance: P & C (stock)	\$39.1
96	94	Mass. Mutual Life Ins. (Springfield)	Insurance: Life, Health (mutual)	\$33.4
108	115	TJX (Framingham)	Specialty Retailers: Apparel	\$27.4
127	122	Staples (Framingham)	Specialty Retailers: Other	\$23.7
126	124	Raytheon (Waltham)	Aerospace and Defense	\$23.3
128	133	EMC (Hopkinton)	Computer Peripherals	\$23.2
146	157	Global Partners (Waltham)	Wholesalers: Diversified	\$19.6
215	220	Thermo Fisher Scientific (Waltham)	Scientific, Photo, Control Equipment	\$13.1
275	268	State St. Corp. (Boston)	Commercial Banks	\$10.3
359	402	Northeast Utilities (Springfield)	Utilities: Gas and Electric	\$7.3
367	357	Boston Scientific (Natick)	Medical Products & Equipment	\$7.1
375	454	Biogen Idec (Weston)	Pharmaceuticals	\$6.9

SOURCE: *Fortune*, May 2014 issue.

***Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund.*** The unemployment insurance system is a federal-state cooperative program established by the Social Security Act and the Federal Unemployment Tax Act to provide for the payment of benefits to eligible individuals when they become unemployed through no fault of their own. Benefits are paid from the Commonwealth's Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund, financed through employer contributions. The assets and liabilities of the Commonwealth Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund are not assets and liabilities of the Commonwealth.

As of June 30, 2014, the Massachusetts Unemployment Trust Fund had a balance of \$950.1 million. This balance is the sum of the private contributory account balance of \$844.3 million and the government contributory account balance of \$105.8 million. This compares to a May 2014 balance of \$983.2 million with a private contributory portion of \$876.1 million. The June 2014 Unemployment Insurance Trust Fund report indicated that the private contributory account balance was estimated to be \$1.559 billion by the end of 2018 according to the Moody's-based outlook.

***Unemployment.*** The unemployment rate in Massachusetts was consistently below the national average from mid-1995 through November 2005, with similar patterns of gradual improvement after the mid-2003 peak. The Massachusetts rate exceeded the U.S. rate for fourteen out of seventeen months between January 2006 and May 2007, but only three of those differences exceeded 0.2 percent. In March 2007 the Massachusetts rate was 4.5 percent, the lowest it had been since October 2001. From October 2009 to June 2010, the rate peaked at 8.7 percent. From June 2007 to October 2013, the state rate remained at or below the comparable (seasonally adjusted) U.S. unemployment rate. From November 2013 through January of 2014 Massachusetts' rate briefly rose over the U.S. number but has steadily declined since, consistently dropping below the national rate.

The latest figure, the Massachusetts June 2014 seasonally adjusted rate, was 5.5 percent, 0.6 percent below the national rate of 6.1 percent. The tables and graphs on the following two pages compare the unemployment rate in the state with those in New England and the U.S.


## Annual Average Civilian Labor Force and Unemployment, 1969-2013

(in thousands)

Year	Civilian Labor Force			Unemployed			Unemployment Rate			MA Rate as
	MA	N.E.	U.S.	MA	N.E.	U.S.	MA	N.E.	U.S.	Pct. of U.S.
1969	2,581	5,201	80,734	100	198	2,832	3.9%	3.8%	3.5%	111.2%
1970	2,465	5,128	82,771	113	253	4,093	4.6%	4.9%	4.9%	93.7%
1971	2,459	5,157	84,382	163	364	5,016	6.6%	7.1%	5.9%	112.7%
1972	2,487	5,260	87,034	161	363	4,882	6.5%	6.9%	5.6%	115.6%
1973	2,557	5,387	89,429	171	336	4,365	6.7%	6.2%	4.9%	136.1%
1974	2,637	5,514	91,949	190	368	5,156	7.2%	6.7%	5.6%	128.5%
1975	2,725	5,633	93,775	305	578	7,929	11.2%	10.3%	8.5%	131.5%
1976	2,726	5,714	96,158	268	521	7,406	9.8%	9.1%	7.7%	127.3%
1977	2,760	5,820	99,009	218	437	6,991	7.9%	7.5%	7.1%	111.3%
1978	2,809	5,936	102,251	173	343	6,202	6.2%	5.8%	6.1%	101.6%
1979	2,863	6,080	104,962	156	326	6,137	5.5%	5.4%	5.8%	94.8%
1980	2,885	6,154	106,940	164	365	7,637	5.7%	5.9%	7.1%	80.3%
1981	2,938	6,268	108,670	189	400	8,273	6.4%	6.4%	7.6%	84.2%
1982	2,966	6,345	110,204	236	489	10,678	8.0%	7.7%	9.7%	82.5%
1983	2,972	6,386	111,550	209	434	10,717	7.0%	6.8%	9.6%	72.9%
1984	3,032	6,540	113,544	146	318	8,539	4.8%	4.9%	7.5%	64.0%
1985	3,049	6,630	115,461	125	290	8,312	4.1%	4.4%	7.2%	56.9%
1986	3,080	6,724	117,834	123	264	8,237	4.0%	3.9%	7.0%	57.1%
1987	3,114	6,827	119,865	104	228	7,425	3.4%	3.3%	6.2%	54.8%
1988	3,156	6,907	121,669	104	215	6,701	3.3%	3.1%	5.5%	60.0%
1989	3,189	7,004	123,869	132	274	6,528	4.2%	3.9%	5.3%	79.2%
1990	3,226	7,128	125,840	204	409	7,047	6.3%	5.7%	5.6%	112.5%
1991	3,199	7,112	126,346	283	558	8,628	8.8%	7.8%	6.8%	129.4%
1992	3,181	7,105	128,105	281	573	9,613	8.8%	8.1%	7.5%	117.3%
1993	3,173	7,062	129,200	232	486	8,940	7.3%	6.9%	6.9%	105.8%
1994	3,188	7,041	131,056	199	415	7,996	6.2%	5.9%	6.1%	101.6%
1995	3,205	7,053	132,304	176	375	7,404	5.5%	5.3%	5.6%	98.2%
1996	3,231	7,118	133,943	148	340	7,236	4.6%	4.8%	5.4%	85.2%
1997	3,293	7,228	136,297	135	315	6,739	4.1%	4.4%	4.9%	83.7%
1998	3,322	7,257	137,673	113	253	6,210	3.4%	3.5%	4.5%	75.6%
1999	3,355	7,327	139,368	110	234	5,880	3.3%	3.2%	4.2%	78.6%
2000	3,366	7,348	142,583	92	204	5,692	2.7%	2.8%	4.0%	67.5%
2001	3,401	7,424	143,734	126	266	6,801	3.7%	3.6%	4.7%	78.7%
2002	3,424	7,496	144,863	181	363	8,378	5.3%	4.8%	5.8%	91.4%
2003	3,407	7,508	146,510	198	407	8,774	5.8%	5.4%	6.0%	96.7%
2004	3,381	7,476	147,401	177	366	8,149	5.2%	4.9%	5.5%	94.5%
2005	3,383	7,516	149,320	164	353	7,591	4.8%	4.7%	5.1%	94.1%
2006	3,418	7,607	151,428	162	344	7,001	4.8%	4.5%	4.6%	104.3%
2007	3,431	7,646	153,124	154	342	7,078	4.5%	4.5%	4.6%	97.8%
2008	3,463	7,713	154,287	185	418	8,924	5.3%	5.4%	5.8%	91.4%
2009	3,471	7,735	154,142	283	628	14,265	8.2%	8.1%	9.3%	88.2%
2010	3,475	7,761	153,889	288	659	14,825	8.3%	8.5%	9.6%	86.5%
2011	3,470	7,735	153,617	254	601	13,747	7.3%	7.8%	8.9%	83.1%
2012	3,475	7,720	154,975	234	560	12,506	6.7%	7.2%	8.1%	82.7%
2013	3,483	7,693	155,389	239	539	11,460	6.9%	7.0%	7.4%	92.8%


SOURCE: United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

**Annual Average Unemployment Rate, 1969-2013  
Massachusetts, New England, and United States**



SOURCE: United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.


**Monthly Unemployment Rate, January 2003 - June 2014  
Massachusetts and United States  
(seasonally adjusted)**




SOURCE: MA Executive Office of Labor and Workforce Development, United States Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics.

## EDUCATION AND TECHNOLOGY


**Educational Attainment.** The availability of a skilled and well-educated population is an important resource for the Commonwealth. Only the District of Columbia had a higher percentage of adults with a bachelor's degree or higher in 2012, according to the Census Bureau's American Community Survey (ACS). The ACS also found that Massachusetts had a smaller proportion of persons who had not completed high school, 10.3 percent, than the national average of 13.6 percent. Massachusetts' black and Hispanic populations achieved college degrees at roughly half the rate of its white population but their rates were higher than their national averages. The most current Census data are shown below.



**Percent of Population in the U.S. and Massachusetts with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher, 2002-2012**



**Persons in the U.S. and Massachusetts 25 Years and Older with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher in 2012**




SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, ACS Estimates.

**Higher Education Data.** The table below compares Massachusetts higher education enrollment by race and Hispanic Origin to the U.S.

<i>Higher Education Enrollment by Race and Hispanic Origin in 2012</i>						
	Total	White alone	Black alone	Asian alone	All other races	Hispanic or Latino
United States	23,910,067	68.4%	15.5%	7.6%	8.5%	15.2%
Massachusetts	598,135	73.8%	9.6%	9.3%	7.3%	9.8%

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey estimates.


Survey data released by the Institute of International Education state that 46,486 foreign students were enrolled in Massachusetts colleges and universities in the 2011/2012 school year. This was an increase of 12.7 percent from the previous year. The national enrollment increased 9.8 percent. Massachusetts remains fourth ranked among states for foreign student enrollment.



From 2000 to 2012, higher education enrollment has increased 35 percent in the United States and 23 percent in Massachusetts. During that same period, enrollment in public higher education increased 27 percent in the United States and 25 percent in Massachusetts. Enrollment in private institutions increased 62 percent in the United States and 21 percent in Massachusetts.


Research and development expenditures at universities and colleges increased 59 percent in the United States and 69 percent in Massachusetts between 2003 and 2012.

**Massachusetts Higher Education  
Public/Private Enrollment**




SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Digest of Education Statistics.

**Massachusetts Total Higher Education Enrollment  
Percent Change in Total Enrollment**




SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Digest of Education Statistics.

**Degrees Conferred by Degree-Granting Institutions in  
Massachusetts 2011-12**




SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Digest of Education Statistics. <sup>1</sup>Includes Ph.D., Ed.D., and comparable degrees at the doctoral level.

**R&D Expenditures at Massachusetts Universities and  
Colleges, by Science and Engineering Field: FY 2011**




SOURCE: National Science Foundation. \$2.81 Billion in FY 2011.

**Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and  
Colleges**



SOURCE: National Science Foundation.


**Research and Development Expenditures at Universities and  
Colleges**



SOURCE: National Science Foundation.


Enrollment -2012	MA Rank	Degrees Awarded - 2012	MA Rank	Research & Development\$ - FY 2012	MA Rank
Total	13	Total	10	Total	6
Public	23	Associate's	23	Environmental sciences	2
Private	7	Bachelor's	10	Life sciences	8
		Master's	7	Math/computer sciences	7
		Professional/Doctorate	6	Physical sciences	3
				Psychology	9
				Social sciences	5
				Sciences, nec	2
				Engineering	5

**Internet Access.** A larger portion of the state’s population has access to the internet compared to the nation as a whole, according to the latest Census survey taken in 2012. Massachusetts ranked fifth among states and the District of Columbia when comparing the percent (86.0) of individuals who had access to the internet in their household.



**Patents.** The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office notes: “This report shows the number of U.S. patent documents (i.e., utility patents, design patents, plant patents, reissue patents, defensive publications, and statutory invention registrations) granted since 1977, broken down by the state or country of origin (patent origin is determined by the residence of the first-named inventor).”


In 2013, Massachusetts was fourth among all states for the number of new patents issued. Only five nations originated more U.S. patents than Massachusetts, putting the state on par with the United Kingdom, France, and China for origination of U.S. patents. Since 1977, Massachusetts has received more new patents than any other New England state. With 6,767 new patents in 2013, up 11 percent from 2012, Massachusetts innovators were responsible for 60 percent of the new patents in New England.



**Primary and Secondary Education Expenditures.** Massachusetts has spent from 12 to 35 percent more per pupil on primary and secondary education than the national average since 1981. During the 2011-2012 school year, the average Massachusetts per student expenditures increased 1.4 percent to \$14,142. Massachusetts is ranked 8th in the nation among states and the District of Columbia. The table and chart below show expenditures per pupil for Massachusetts and the U.S. since fiscal year 1981.

*Per Pupil Expenditure in Public Elementary and Secondary Schools*  
(in current, unadjusted dollars)


Fiscal Year	Massachusetts	United States	Ratio (MA/U.S.)	MA change from previous year
1981	\$2,735	\$2,307	1.19	
1985	3,653	3,222	1.13	10.8%
1990	5,766	4,643	1.24	5.1%
1995	6,783	5,529	1.23	5.6%
1996	7,033	5,689	1.24	3.7%
1997	7,331	5,923	1.24	4.2%
1998	7,651	6,137	1.25	4.4%
1999	8,106	6,458	1.26	5.9%
2000	8,444	6,836	1.24	4.2%
2001	9,038	7,284	1.24	7.0%
2002	9,856	7,701	1.28	9.1%
2003	10,223	8,019	1.27	3.7%
2004	10,693	8,287	1.29	4.6%
2005	11,267	8,701	1.29	5.4%
2006	11,981	9,138	1.31	6.3%
2007	12,738	9,666	1.32	6.3%
2008	13,454	10,259	1.31	5.6%
2009	14,118	10,499	1.34	4.9%
2010	14,350	10,615	1.35	1.6%
2011	13,941	10,560	1.32	-2.9%
2012	14,142	10,608	1.33	1.4%



SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, <http://www.census.gov/govs/www/school.html>.

**National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) scores.** The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), also known as "the Nation's Report Card," is the only nationally representative and continuing assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Since 1969, assessments have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science, writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts. Under the current structure, the Commissioner of Education Statistics, who heads the National Center for Education Statistics in the U.S. Department of Education, is responsible by law for administering the NAEP project.

Since 1990, NAEP assessments have also been conducted to give results for participating states. Those that choose to participate receive assessment results that report on the performance of students in that state. In its content, the state assessment is identical to the assessment conducted nationally. However, because the national NAEP samples were not, and are not currently designed to support the reporting of accurate and representative state-level results, separate representative samples of students are selected for each participating jurisdiction/state. The graphs below compare the data available for Massachusetts to the nation.



## ECONOMIC BASE AND PERFORMANCE—STATE OVERVIEW

**Comparing BEA GDP for the U.S., the region, and Massachusetts.** The U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) defines Gross Domestic Product by State (GDP) as “the value added in production by the labor and property located in a state. GDP for a state is derived as the sum of the gross state product originating in all industries in a state. In concept, an industry’s GDP, referred to as its “value added”, is equivalent to its gross output (sales or receipts and other operating income, commodity taxes, and inventory change) minus its intermediate inputs (consumption of goods and services purchased from other U.S. industries or imported).”


The BEA provides national, quarterly, and annual state GDP estimates by industry. *MassBenchmarks* releases quarterly estimates of Massachusetts economic activity. This is reported in the following section. While we do not have access to many of the components that BEA uses to calculate state GDP in advance of its release, we provide an overview of our state’s components when they are available. Additionally, we provide state economic indexes and industrial sector data that are released more frequently and in some cases, are among the components used to calculate state GDP.

### State Gross Domestic Product - Annual Change

(billions of chained 2009 dollars)

Year	Massachusetts		New England		United States	
	GDP	Annual change	GDP	Annual change	GDP	Annual change
2000	\$350		\$724		\$12,643,017	
2001	\$354	1.1%	\$731	1.0%	\$12,760,635	0.9%
2002	\$354	0.0%	\$736	0.7%	\$12,990,141	1.8%
2003	\$363	2.5%	\$755	2.6%	\$13,322,077	2.6%
2004	\$372	2.4%	\$784	3.8%	\$13,779,876	3.4%
2005	\$377	1.5%	\$796	1.6%	\$14,226,826	3.2%
2006	\$383	1.5%	\$813	2.1%	\$14,612,582	2.7%
2007	\$393	2.6%	\$828	1.9%	\$14,824,616	1.5%
2008	\$393	0.0%	\$821	-0.9%	\$14,728,947	-0.6%
2009	\$383	-2.4%	\$797	-2.9%	\$14,328,006	-2.7%
2010	\$396	3.4%	\$816	2.4%	\$14,639,748	2.2%
2011	\$405	2.2%	\$823	0.9%	\$14,868,836	1.6%
2012	\$414	2.3%	\$837	1.6%	\$15,245,906	2.5%
2013	\$421	1.6%	\$848	1.3%	\$15,526,715	1.8%

### Quarterly Growth in Real GDP, Massachusetts vs. the United States



SOURCE: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis and the Massachusetts Current Economic Index, *MassBenchmarks*.

Note: Massachusetts quarterly data are estimates and projections. \*U.S. data is 2009 chained dollars. Updated July 30, 2014.

## ECONOMIC BASE AND PERFORMANCE—ECONOMIC INDICATORS

**State Coincident Indexes.** To track more recent changes in the state and national economies, we use the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia’s Coincident Indexes. It “produces a monthly coincident index for each of the 50 states. The indexes are released a few days after the Bureau of Labor Statistics (BLS) releases the employment data for the states.


The coincident indexes combine four state-level indicators to summarize current economic conditions in a single statistic. The four state-level variables in each coincident index are nonfarm payroll employment, average hours worked in manufacturing, the unemployment rate, and wage and salary disbursements deflated by the consumer price index (U.S. city average). The trend for each state’s index is set to the trend of its gross domestic product (GDP), so long term growth in the state’s index matches long term growth in its GDP.”

The graph below indicates the state and national index change from 12 months prior. Except for a six month period starting in June 2103, Massachusetts has outperformed the U.S. average since the end of the last recession, June 2009, and has increased much faster than the U.S. index since January 2014. The table below compares the Massachusetts index to its New England neighbors and the U.S.

*Comparing the U.S. and New England States Coincident Indexes*

Area	June 2014	1-Month Change	3-Month Change	12-Month Change
U.S.	158.4	0.3%	0.9%	3.2%
Massachusetts	185.7	0.6%	1.8%	5.8%
Connecticut	156.6	0.4%	0.9%	3.1%
Maine	142.7	0.9%	1.6%	5.4%
New Hampshire	194.9	0.3%	0.9%	3.6%
Rhode Island	161.8	0.7%	2.0%	5.1%
Vermont	152.8	-0.3%	-0.4%	1.2%

*Comparing the U.S. and Massachusetts Coincident Indexes*




SOURCE: Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia. July 23, 2014.  
 Note: Indexes are set to 100 at July 1992.

**Institute for Supply Management Purchasing Manager Indexes (PMI).** These indexes are compiled using survey data from purchasing and supply executives and are used as a leading business indicator. While the national index is based on a survey of manufacturers, the Boston region's is based on a survey of "companies representing industries as diverse as the banking and financial services, pharmaceuticals and biotechnology, software development and communication, medical products and equipment, computers, chemicals, consumer products, education, and the public sector."

Index readings above 50 indicate the economy is generally expanding. Readings below 50 indicate the economy is generally contracting, although a reading above 42 for a period of time indicates the economy is generally expanding. Components of the national index include new orders, production, employment, supplier deliveries, inventories, customer inventories, prices, backlog of orders, exports and imports. The Boston region's components are slightly different and include new orders, production, employment, supplier deliveries, raw monthly materials inventory, business confidence, commodity prices, product prices, new export orders, order backlog and finished goods inventories. These components show which segments of the business supply chain are expanding or contracting.

The June 2014 national index indicated that the manufacturing sector has been expanding since May 2009. The Boston region's June 2014 index indicated that overall business conditions were contracting since July 2012 but jumped to 43.6 in June. Nine of the eleven components of the Boston Region PMI improved and two were unchanged compared to May 2014.




## ECONOMIC BASE AND PERFORMANCE—INTERNATIONAL TRADE

**Total Trade Volume.** Total trade volume, exports and imports, increased 4.3 percent from 2012 to \$61.1 billion in 2013. Canada trade volume was \$12.3 billion and 20.1 percent of the total state trade and was by far our most valuable trading partner. Massachusetts trade deficit, \$7.5 billion, grew 2.0 percent in 2013. See the appendix 8 for more trade data.


**Exports.** Massachusetts ranked 17th in the United States in 2013 and first in New England with \$26.8 billion in exports. This was a 4.6 percent increase from the previous year's export value, while national exports increased by 2.1 percent. Total exports from New England grew by 3.3 percent. Canada was again our top export destination in 2013 with \$3.7 billion.

**Imports.** Imports grew to \$34.3 billion in 2013 after falling 1.2 percent in 2012. Canada was also the largest source for Massachusetts imports in 2013, where we imported \$8.6 billion or 25.1 percent of our total.

*Massachusetts Imports, Exports and Trade Deficit*




*Export Growth for Massachusetts, U.S. and New England*




SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce and WISERTrade.org.


**Massachusetts 2013 Top Ten Trade Partners**



**Massachusetts 2013 Top Ten Export Partners**




**Massachusetts 2013 Top Ten Import Partners**




*Top Ten Commodities Traded by Trade Type from WISERTrade.org.*


**Massachusetts 2013 Top Commodities Imported and Exported**



**Massachusetts 2013 Top Ten Commodities Exported**




**Massachusetts 2013 Top Ten Commodities Imported**



## ECONOMIC BASE AND PERFORMANCE—INDUSTRY SECTOR DETAIL (NAICS BASIS)

The Massachusetts economy remains diversified among several industrial and non-industrial sectors. The four largest sectors of the economy, real estate, rental and leasing, professional and technical services, government and manufacturing, contributed 48.6 percent of the GDP in 2013. The real estate, rental and leasing sector returned in 2013 as the largest contributor. The following bar chart displays the latest sector contributions to the Massachusetts GDP.



**GDP Subsectors.** When measured in chained 2009 dollars, the change in Massachusetts total GDP grew 17 percent between 2002 and 2012. Between 2002 and 2012 (the latest data available for subsector data), several industries grew much faster than the state average. Industry subsectors that experienced substantial cumulative growth or reduction are listed in the following chart.

**Industry Subsectors with a Substantial Growth or Reduction**  
(chained 2009 dollars)

NAICS* Industry Subsector	Percent change 2002-2012
Computer and electronic product manufacturing	128%
Computer systems design and related services	125%
Ambulatory health care services	45%
Hospitals and nursing and residential care facilities	33%
Securities, commodity contracts, and investments	-23%
Plastics and rubber products manufacturing	-33%
Other transportation equipment manufacturing	-38%
Paper products manufacturing	-41%

SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis. Updated June 2013.  
Note: Subsector represents at least a half percent of Massachusetts GDP in the latest year.  
\*North American Industry Classification System.

**Gross Domestic Product by Industry in Massachusetts**  
(millions of chained 2009 dollars)

NAICS* Industry Sector	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total Gross Domestic Product by State	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Private industries	88.1%	88.4%	88.5%	88.5%	88.5%	88.3%	88.0%	88.5%	88.3%	88.4%	88.5%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%	0.2%
Mining	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Utilities	1.3%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.4%	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%
Construction	5.1%	5.0%	4.7%	4.3%	3.9%	3.6%	3.3%	3.1%	3.1%	3.2%	3.3%
Manufacturing	10.5%	9.9%	9.9%	10.6%	11.5%	10.8%	10.5%	11.3%	10.9%	10.7%	10.5%
Wholesale trade	5.8%	5.9%	5.7%	5.9%	5.8%	5.8%	5.3%	5.1%	5.0%	4.8%	4.8%
Retail trade	5.2%	5.1%	5.1%	4.8%	4.5%	4.3%	4.4%	4.4%	4.3%	4.2%	4.2%
Transportation and warehousing, excluding Postal Service	1.6%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.6%	1.5%
Information	4.0%	4.4%	4.8%	4.6%	4.8%	5.1%	4.9%	5.3%	5.2%	5.3%	5.1%
Finance and insurance	8.9%	9.1%	9.0%	9.1%	8.8%	8.0%	9.2%	8.6%	8.2%	8.5%	8.4%
Real estate, rental, and leasing	14.2%	14.1%	14.4%	14.0%	14.0%	13.9%	14.2%	14.4%	14.7%	14.8%	15.0%
Professional and technical services	9.5%	9.9%	9.9%	10.1%	10.1%	11.2%	10.8%	10.8%	11.1%	11.4%	11.6%
Management of companies and enterprises	2.8%	2.5%	2.5%	2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%	2.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.5%
Administrative and waste services	2.5%	2.5%	2.7%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	2.6%	2.6%	2.7%	2.7%	2.7%
Educational services	2.6%	2.7%	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.7%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.7%	2.6%
Health care and social assistance	8.0%	8.1%	8.2%	8.4%	8.4%	9.0%	9.4%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%	9.3%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%
Accommodation and food services	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%	2.7%	2.6%	2.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.6%	2.6%	2.7%
Other services, except government	2.4%	2.3%	2.3%	2.2%	2.1%	2.1%	2.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.8%	1.8%
Government	11.9%	11.6%	11.5%	11.5%	11.5%	11.7%	12.0%	11.5%	11.7%	11.6%	11.5%

**Rank of Industry Contribution to GDP in Massachusetts**  
(millions of chained 2009 dollars)

NAICS* Industry Sector	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Total Gross Domestic Product by State											
Private industries											
Agriculture, forestry, fishing, and hunting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	19
Mining	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Utilities	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	17
Construction	9	9	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Manufacturing	3	3	4	3	2	4	4	3	4	4	4
Wholesale trade	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	8	8	8	8
Retail trade	8	8	8	8	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
Transportation and warehousing, excluding Postal Service	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	16
Information	10	10	9	9	8	8	8	7	7	7	7
Finance and insurance	5	5	5	5	5	6	6	6	6	6	6
Real estate, rental, and leasing	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Professional and technical services	4	4	3	4	4	3	3	4	3	3	2
Management of companies and enterprises	12	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	14
Administrative and waste services	14	13	12	12	11	11	12	12	11	11	11
Educational services	13	12	13	13	13	12	11	11	12	12	13
Health care and social assistance	6	6	6	6	6	5	5	5	5	5	5
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	18
Accommodation and food services	11	11	11	11	12	13	13	13	13	13	12
Other services, except government	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	15
Government	2	2	2	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	3

SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis.  
\* North American Industry Classification System.

**Industry Sector Analysis.** The following section contains a summary for each of the twenty major NAICS sectors. The data series start in 2001, the last time the state experienced a downturn, commonly referred to as “The Telecom Bubble.” We report the latest quarterly data at an annual rate where available. This may skew sectors that experience seasonal trends, such as construction. Graphs include data value labels for the latest annual and quarterly annualized points.

Not all datasets are reported for all of the major NAICS sectors. The Massachusetts Department of Workforce and Labor Development’s Employment and Wages (ES-202) data series are available for every sector and contain the number of establishments, average monthly employment, total annual wages and average weekly wages for the establishments with employees. The data are reported annually and quarterly for employees in the private, federal government, state government, and local government sectors.

The next graph, nonemployer businesses and receipts, uses the U.S. Census Nonemployer Statistics series and is available for every sector except 55 - Management of Companies and Enterprises and 92 - Public Administration. Some sectors also lack subsector (we used four digit NAICS) detail. These are annual data, with a two-year reporting lag for businesses that do not have paid employees and are subject to federal income tax. Census reports that “Nonemployers account for a majority of all business establishments, but average less than four percent of all sales or receipts.” These can be second or part-time businesses and not the owner’s primary source of income.









State Gross Domestic Product is an annual series from the U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis’s Regional Economic Accounts. **NEW:** U.S. and state GDP is inflation adjusted to 2009. U.S. GDP is available quarterly and beginning in August 2014, quarterly state GDP will be reported. The June 2014 release included a comprehensive revision of gross domestic product by state. See: [http://www.bea.gov/newsreleases/regional/gdp\\_state/gsp\\_newsrelease.htm](http://www.bea.gov/newsreleases/regional/gdp_state/gsp_newsrelease.htm) for more information.

Foreign exports from the U.S. Census Bureau’s Foreign Trade Division and WISERTrade, are available for four industries on a monthly basis. Total state exports are available on a monthly basis. Sector exports and the top ten export destinations for this industry sector are by dollar value.

Each sector analysis has a summary at the bottom of each page. The summary provides (when available):

1. GDP contribution to state total: this sector’s GDP divided by the state’s total GDP. A green figure indicates it is larger than the previous year’s GDP contribution. Red indicates it is smaller than the previous year’s and black indicates no change from the previous year’s GDP contribution.
2. GDP rank: this sector’s GDP rank versus all state sectors. A green figure indicates it is higher than the previous year’s rank. Red that indicates it is lower than the previous year’s and black that indicates no change from the previous year’s GDP rank.
3. Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: the number of nonemployer establishments divided by the number of establishments with employers. In general, a ratio greater than one means there are more small businesses. A number less than one means there are more large businesses.
4. Establishments with employees: the subsector with the largest number of establishments who have employees.
5. Nonemployer establishments: the subsector with the largest number of establishments that do not have employees.
6. Employees: the subsector with the largest number of employees, at establishments with employees.
7. Annual wages: the subsector with the largest total annual wages, at establishments with employees.
8. Average weekly wage: the subsector with the largest average weekly wage, at establishments with employees.
9. Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: the subsector with the most receipts, at establishments that do not have employees.
10. Most valuable export: the subsector export with the highest dollar value.

**NAICS 11 - Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing & Hunting.** The Agriculture, Forestry, Fishing and Hunting sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in growing crops, raising animals, harvesting timber, and harvesting fish and other animals from a farm, ranch, or their natural habitats.



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **0.16%**

GDP rank: **19 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **5.0 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Fishing**

Nonemployer establishments: **Fishing**

Employees: **Fishing and greenhouse and nursery production**









Annual wages: **Fishing**

Average weekly wage: **Fishing**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Fishing**

Most valuable export: **Fish, fresh, chilled or other frozen marine products**

**NAICS 21 – Mining.** The Mining, Quarrying, and Oil and Gas Extraction sector comprises establishments that extract naturally occurring mineral solids, such as coal and ores; liquid minerals, such as crude petroleum; and gases, such as natural gas. The term mining is used in the broad sense to include quarrying, well operations, beneficiating (e.g., crushing, screening, washing, and flotation), and other preparation customarily performed at the mine site, or as a part of mining activity.



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **0.04%**

GDP rank: **20 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **0.72 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Nonmetallic mineral mining and quarrying**

Nonemployer establishments: **Nonmetallic mineral mining and quarrying**

Employees: **Nonmetallic mineral mining and quarrying**







Annual wages: **Nonmetallic mineral mining and quarrying**

Average weekly wage: **Oil and gas extraction**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Nonmetallic mineral mining and quarrying**

Most valuable export: **Minerals and ores**

**NAICS 22 – Utilities.** The Utilities sector comprises establishments engaged in the provision of the following utility services: electric power, natural gas, steam supply, water supply, and sewage removal. See the appendix, pages five and six for supplemental tables regarding electricity generation, supply and capacity.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **1.36%**

GDP rank: **17 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **0.78 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Water, sewage and other systems**

Nonemployer establishments: Subsector detail N/A







Employees: **Power generation and supply**

Annual wages: **Power generation and supply**

Average weekly wage: **Natural gas distribution**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: Subsector detail N/A

**NAICS 23 – Construction.** The Construction sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in the construction of buildings or engineering projects (e.g., highways and utility systems). Establishments primarily engaged in the preparation of sites for new construction and establishments primarily engaged in subdividing land for sale as building sites also are included in this sector. See the appendix pages two through four for housing permits, housing sales, and housing sale prices.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **3.29%**

GDP rank: **10 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **2.73 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Building equipment contractors**

Nonemployer establishments: **Building finishing contractors**

Employees: **Building equipment contractors**









Annual wages: **Building equipment contractors**

Average weekly wage: **Utility system construction**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Residential building construction**

Large employers in Massachusetts: **Suffolk Construction Company, J&S Electric Company, R.H. White Company and Interstate Electrical Services Group.**

**NAICS 31-33 – Manufacturing.** The Manufacturing sector comprises establishments engaged in the mechanical, physical, or chemical transformation of materials, substances, or components into new products. The assembling of component parts of manufactured products is considered manufacturing, except in cases where the activity is appropriately classified in Sector 23, Construction.



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **10.50%**

GDP rank: **4 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **0.80 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Printing and related support activities**

Nonemployer establishments: **Other miscellaneous manufacturing**

Employees: **Electronic instrument**







Annual wages: **Electronic instrument**

Average weekly wage: **Computers and peripheral equipment**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Other miscellaneous manufacturing**

Most valuable export: **Computers and electronic products**

**NAICS 42 - Wholesale Trade.** The sector comprises establishments engaged in wholesaling merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. The merchandise described in this sector includes the outputs of agriculture, mining, manufacturing, and certain information industries, such as publishing. The wholesaling process is an intermediate step in the distribution of merchandise.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **4.83%**

GDP rank: **8 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **0.47 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Electronic markets and agents/brokers**

Nonemployer establishments: **Miscellaneous durable goods merchant wholesalers**







Employees: **Electronic markets and agents/brokers**

Annual wages: **Electronic markets and agents/brokers**

Average weekly wage: **Druggists' goods merchant wholesalers**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Miscellaneous durable goods merchant wholesalers**

**NAICS 44-45 - Retail Trade.** The Retail Trade sector comprises establishments engaged in retailing merchandise, generally without transformation, and rendering services incidental to the sale of merchandise. The retailing process is the final step in the distribution of merchandise; retailers are therefore organized to sell merchandise in small quantities to the general public. This sector comprises two main types of retailers: store and nonstore retailers.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **4.21%**

GDP rank: **9 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **1.25 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Grocery stores**

Nonemployer establishments: **Direct selling establishments**







Employees: **Grocery stores**

Annual wages: **Grocery stores**

Average weekly wage: **Electronic shopping and mail-order houses**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Direct selling establishments**

**NAICS 48-49 - Transportation and Warehousing.** The Transportation and Warehousing sector includes industries providing transportation of passengers and cargo, warehousing and storage for goods, scenic and sightseeing transportation, and support activities related to modes of transportation. Establishments in these industries use transportation equipment or transportation related facilities as a productive asset. The type of equipment depends on the mode of transportation. The modes of transportation are air, rail, water, road, and pipeline. See pages six and seven of the appendix for more information regarding transportation and warehousing.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **1.54%**

GDP rank: **16 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **3.68 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **General freight trucking**

Nonemployer establishments: **Taxi and limousine service**









Employees: **Couriers**

Annual wages: **Warehousing and storage**

Average weekly wage: **Pipeline transportation of natural gas**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **General freight trucking**

**NAICS 51 – Information.** The Information sector comprises establishments engaged in the following processes: producing and distributing information and cultural products, providing the means to transmit or distribute these products as well as data or communications, and processing data.



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **5.11%**

GDP rank: **7 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **1.78 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Other information services**

Nonemployer establishments: **Other information services**

Employees: **Software publishers**







Annual wages: **Software publishers**

Average weekly wage: **Software publishers**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Other information services**

Most valuable export: **Prepackaged software**

**NAICS 52 - Finance and Insurance.** The Finance and Insurance sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in financial transactions (transactions involving the creation, liquidation, or change in ownership of financial assets) and/or in facilitating financial transactions.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **8.41%**

GDP rank: **6 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **1.40 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Insurance agencies, brokerages & support**

Nonemployer establishments: **Other financial investment activities**







Employees: **Depository credit intermediation**

Annual wages: **Other financial investment activities**

Average weekly wage: **Security and commodity investment activity**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Other financial investment activities**

**NAICS 53 - Real Estate and Rental and Leasing.** The Real Estate and Rental and Leasing sector comprises establishments primarily engaged in renting, leasing, or otherwise allowing the use of tangible or intangible assets, and establishments providing related services. The major portion of this sector comprises establishments that rent, lease, or otherwise allow the use of their own assets by others. The assets may be tangible, as is the case of real estate and equipment, or intangible, as is the case with patents and trademarks.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **15.04%**

GDP rank: **1 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **7.76 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Activities related to real estate**

Nonemployer establishments: **Lessors of real estate**







Employees: **Activities related to real estate**

Annual wages: **Activities related to real estate**

Average weekly wage: **Lessors, nonfinancial intangible assets**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Lessors of real estate**

**NAICS 54 - Professional and Technical Services.** The Professional and Technical Services sector comprises establishments that specialize in performing professional, scientific, and technical activities for others. These activities require a high degree of expertise and training. The establishments in this sector specialize according to expertise and provide these services to clients in a variety of industries - in some cases, to households. Activities performed include: legal advice and representation, accounting, bookkeeping, and payroll services, architectural, engineering, and specialized design services, computer services, consulting services, research services, advertising services, photographic services, translation and interpretation services, veterinary services, and other professional, scientific, and technical services.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **11.55%**

GDP rank: **2 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **3.19 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Computer systems design and related services**

Nonemployer establishments: **Other professional, scientific, and technical services**





Employees: **Computer systems design and related services**

Annual wages: **Computer systems design and related services**


Average weekly wage: **Computer systems design and related services**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Management, scientific, and technical consulting services**

**NAICS 55 - Management of Companies and Enterprises.** The Management of Companies and Enterprises sector comprises establishments that hold the securities of (or other equity interests in) companies and enterprises for the purpose of owning a controlling interest or influencing management decisions or establishments (except government establishments) that administer, oversee, and manage establishments of the company or enterprise and that normally undertake the strategic or organizational planning and decision making role of the company or enterprise. Establishments that administer, oversee, and manage may hold the securities of the company or enterprise.



**State nonemployer and export data are not available for this sector.**



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **2.46%**

GDP rank: **14 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **N/A**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll, and receipts.

Establishments with employees: Subsector detail **N/A**

Nonemployer establishments: **N/A**







Employees: Subsector detail **N/A**

Annual wages: Subsector detail **N/A**

Average weekly wage: Subsector detail **N/A**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **N/A**

**NAICS 56 - Administrative and Waste Services.** The Administrative and Waste Services sector comprises establishments performing routine support activities for the day-to-day operations of other organizations. The establishments in this sector specialize in one or more of these support activities and provide these services to clients in a variety of industries and in some cases, to households. Activities performed include: office administration, hiring and placing of personnel, document preparation and similar clerical services, solicitation, collection, security and surveillance services, cleaning, and waste disposal services.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **2.68%**

GDP rank: **11 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **2.83 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Services to buildings and dwellings**

Nonemployer establishments: **Services to buildings and dwellings**







Employees: **Employment services**

Annual wages: **Employment services**

Average weekly wage: **Office administrative services**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Services to buildings and dwellings**

**NAICS 61 - Educational Services.** The Educational Services sector comprises establishments that provide instruction and training in a wide variety of subjects. This instruction and training is provided by specialized establishments, such as schools, colleges, universities, and training centers. These establishments may be privately owned and operated for profit or not for profit, or they may be publicly owned and operated. They may also offer food and/or accommodation services to their students.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **2.64%**

GDP rank: **13 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **5.02 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Other schools and instruction**

Nonemployer establishments: Subsector detail N/A







Employees: **Elementary and secondary schools**

Annual wages: **Elementary and secondary schools**

Average weekly wage: **Colleges and universities**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: Subsector detail N/A

**NAICS 62 - Health Care and Social Assistance.** The Health Care and Social Assistance sector comprises establishments providing health care and social assistance for individuals. The sector includes both health care and social assistance because it is sometimes difficult to distinguish between the boundaries of these two activities.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **9.33%**

GDP rank: **5 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **2.42 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Offices of physicians**

Nonemployer establishments: **Offices of other health practitioners**







Employees: **General medical and surgical hospitals**

Annual wages: **General medical and surgical hospitals**

Average weekly wage: **Offices of physicians**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Offices of other health practitioners**

**NAICS 71 - Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation.** The Arts, Entertainment, and Recreation sector includes a wide range of establishments that: operate facilities or provide services to meet varied cultural, entertainment, and recreational interests of their patrons, are involved in producing, promoting, or participating in live performances, events, or exhibits intended for public viewing, preserve and exhibit objects and sites of historical, cultural, or educational interest and operate facilities or provide services that enable patrons to participate in recreational activities or pursue amusement, hobby, and leisure-time interests. See the Appendix page six for travel and tourism information.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **0.92%**

GDP rank: **18 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **9.07 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Other amusement & recreation industries**

Nonemployer establishments: **Independent artists, writers, and performers**







Employees: **Other amusement & recreation industries**

Annual wages: **Other amusement & recreation industries**

Average weekly wage: **Spectator sports**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Independent artists, writers, and performers**

**NAICS 72 - Accommodation and Food Services.** The Accommodation and Food Services sector comprises establishments providing customers with lodging and/or preparing meals, snacks, and beverages for immediate consumption. The sector includes both accommodation and food services establishments because the two activities are often combined at the same establishment.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **2.66%**

GDP rank: **12 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **0.33 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Restaurants and other eating places**

Nonemployer establishments: **Special food services**







Employees: **Restaurants and other eating places**

Annual wages: **Restaurants and other eating places**

Average weekly wage: **Traveler accommodation**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Restaurants and other eating places**

**NAICS 81 - Other Services, Except Public Administration.** The Other Services (except Public Administration) sector comprises establishments engaged in providing services not specifically provided for elsewhere in the classification system. Establishments in this sector are primarily engaged in activities such as equipment and machinery repairing, promoting or administering religious activities, grant making, advocacy, providing dry cleaning and laundry services, personal care services, death care services, pet care services, photofinishing services, temporary parking services, and dating services.



State export data are not available for this sector.

**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **1.79%**

GDP rank: **15 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **1.28 to 1**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Private households**

Nonemployer establishments: **Other personal services**





Employees: **Private households**

Annual wages: **Automotive repair and maintenance**


Average weekly wage: **Electronic equipment repair/maintenance**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **Other personal services**

**NAICS 92 - Public Administration.** The Public Administration sector consists of establishments of federal, state, and local government agencies that administer, oversee, and manage public programs and have executive, legislative, or judicial authority over other institutions within a given area. These agencies also set policy, create laws, adjudicate civil and criminal legal cases and provide for public safety and national defense.



State nonemployer and export data are not available for this sector.



**Summary.**

GDP contribution to state total: **11.51%**

GDP rank: **3 of 20**

Nonemployer to employer establishment ratio: **N/A**

**Sector leaders.** Industry subsectors with the majority of establishments, employees, payroll and receipts.

Establishments with employees: **Executive, legislative, & general government**

Nonemployer establishments: **N/A**

Employees: **Justice, public order, and safety activities**

Annual wages: **Justice, public order, and safety activities**


Average weekly wage: **National security & international affairs**

Annual receipts at nonemployer establishments: **N/A**

## ECONOMIC BASE AND PERFORMANCE—GOVERNMENT REVENUES AND SPENDING

**Federal Spending in Massachusetts.** Massachusetts received approximately \$73.2 billion in contracts, grants, direct payments, insurance, loans and guarantees, and other spending from the federal government in federal FY 2013, the last complete year of federal spending data. Massachusetts received 2.6 percent of all federal spending in FY 2013 versus 2.2 percent in FY 2012.

**Note:** Due to budget cuts, the U.S. Census Bureau has discontinued the CFFR data series, the previous source for these data. FY 2010 was the last year reported. The Massachusetts SDC replaced this series with data from USASpending.gov, its source for federal contract data. Historical data from USASpending does not include spending by all federal agencies (81 percent of FY 2010 CFFR total spending ) as some agencies were not required to report via that database. USASpending will eventually add all federal agencies to their spending database to meet the requirements of the federal Transparency Act.



### *Federal Spending in the United States and Massachusetts In \$Billions*


FY	Massachusetts*	YOY change	United States	YOY change
2003	\$52.5		\$2,327.6	
2004	52.1	-0.7%	2,366.0	1.7%
2005	53.9	3.5%	2,490.6	5.3%
2006	58.5	8.5%	2,788.3	12.0%
2007	42.1	-28.1%	1,543.4	-44.6%
2008	58.1	38.1%	2,083.5	35.0%
2009	71.8	23.5%	2,937.4	41.0%
2010	70.7	-1.5%	2,473.6	-15.8%
2011	66.7	-5.7%	3,283.7	32.7%
2012	81.8	22.6%	3,702.0	12.7%
2013	73.2	-10.5%	2,864.6	-22.6%

SOURCE: <http://www.usaspending.gov> as of July 23, 2014.


\*NOTE: Awardee was located in Massachusetts.

In FY 2013, the largest portion, 53 percent, of federal spending in Massachusetts was for direct payments such as Social Security, Unemployment Insurance, Housing Choice Vouchers and Federal Pell Grants. Direct payment increased 5 percent from FY 2012, but all other categories decreased except for loans which is a very small portion of total federal spending in Massachusetts. The graphs below describe the most recent complete fiscal year and the last eleven years of federal spending in Massachusetts as reported by USASpending.gov.

### Federal Spending in Massachusetts - Federal Fiscal Year 2013



### Composition of Federal Spending Awards to Massachusetts Recipients




SOURCE: USASpending.gov as of July 23, 2014.

**Federal Contracts.** The total dollar value of all federal contracts received by Massachusetts contractors increased an average of 12.7 percent per year from 2000 to 2013, 3.1 percent faster than the 9.6 percent U.S. average. This and the following page analyze federal contract dollars for work primarily performed in Massachusetts to the U.S. total and summarize the fiscal year periods from 2000 to 2014 as of July 25, 2014. It should be noted that although the federal fiscal year ends on September 30, the Department of Defense's contract reporting is delayed 90 days. Year-over-year comparisons are more accurately made after the end of the calendar year when most contracts signed in the previous fiscal year should have been reported.

<b>Federal Contracts Received by Massachusetts Companies as a Percent all Federal Contracts</b>					
<i>(millions of dollars)</i>					
Fiscal Year	Massachusetts	MA percent increase from previous year	MA portion of all	U.S.	U.S. percent increase from previous year
2000	\$6,173		3.0%	\$205,564	
2001	7,244	17.4%	3.2%	223,194	8.6%
2002	7,513	3.7%	2.9%	263,507	18.1%
2003	9,676	28.8%	3.0%	317,970	20.7%
2004	10,113	4.5%	2.9%	346,125	8.9%
2005	11,447	13.2%	2.9%	391,295	13.1%
2006	12,215	6.7%	2.8%	431,915	10.4%
2007	14,457	18.4%	3.1%	469,245	8.6%
2008	16,533	14.4%	3.1%	541,099	15.3%
2009	18,477	11.8%	3.4%	540,373	-0.1%
2010	18,195	-1.5%	3.4%	539,976	-0.1%
2011	18,972	4.3%	3.5%	539,686	-0.1%
2012	17,373	-8.4%	3.4%	517,743	-4.1%
2013	16,338	-6.0%	3.5%	461,230	-10.9%
Total	184,725		3.2%	5,788,924	

**Massachusetts Federal Contracts FY2001-2013**



SOURCE: <http://www.usaspending.gov>. The Federal Fiscal Year is Oct 1-Sept 30.  
 NOTE: Reported as of July 25, 2014.

## Summary of Federal Contracts Performed in Massachusetts

### FY 2000 to FY 2014\*

Total Dollars: \$165,676,212,642

Number of Transactions: 1,069,619

### Top 5 Products or Services Sold

Gas Turbines & Jet Engines Aircraft	\$13,073,405,604
Precious Metals Primary Forms	\$7,174,739,539
Engineering (Advanced)	\$5,455,326,067
R&D-Electronics & Comm Eq-B Res	\$4,812,288,221
Misc Communication Eq	\$4,656,990,124

### Top 5 Prime Award Major Agencies

Department of Defense	\$132,682,586,369
Department of the Treasury	\$8,026,363,417
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$4,527,460,396
Department of Transportation	\$3,841,035,626
Department of Health and Human Services	\$3,630,375,072

### Top 5 Prime Award Sub Agencies

Department of the Army	\$43,851,123,796
Department of the Navy	\$41,394,703,738
Department of the Air Force	\$32,161,805,577
United States Mint	\$7,215,196,830
Missile Defense Agency	\$5,299,606,631

### Top 5 Prime Award Contractors

Raytheon Company	\$40,029,805,307
General Dynamics Corporation	\$18,820,911,449
General Electric Company	\$17,959,884,643
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	\$10,346,765,744
Coins 'N Things INC.	\$5,458,039,071

### Top 5 Contract Sub-Awardees

BAE Systems Information and Electronic Systems Integration	\$298,552,681
Raytheon Company	\$267,742,965
General Dynamics C4 Systems	\$118,177,673
KEYW Corporation	\$108,509,841
Mercury Systems	\$60,769,414

SOURCE: <http://www.usaspending.gov>, Federal Fiscal Year Oct 1-Sept 30.

NOTE: Reported as of July 25, 2014.

\*Partial year.

## *Federal Contracts Performed in Massachusetts*

### **Fiscal Year: 2013**

Total Dollars: \$14,574,111,816

This amount is 3.2% of all awarded dollars for the fiscal year.

Massachusetts is ranked 7th among states, D.C. and territories for this year.

Number of Transactions: 44,165

### **Top 5 Products or Services Sold**

Precious Metals Primary Forms	\$1,949,989,937
Gas Turbines and Jet Engines, Aircraft, Prime Moving; and Components	\$1,285,296,621
Miscellaneous Communication Equipment	\$906,925,852
Support- Professional: Engineering/Technical	\$860,466,592
R&D - Defense System: Electronics/Communication Equipment (Basic Research)	\$800,996,101

### **Top 5 Prime Award Major Agencies**

Department of Defense	\$10,628,516,891
Department of the Treasury	\$1,962,131,044
Department of Veterans Affairs	\$478,814,295
Department of Transportation	\$366,130,009
Department of Health and Human Services	\$322,123,302

### **Top 5 Prime Award Sub Agencies**

Department of the Army	\$3,181,034,905
Department of the Air Force	\$2,974,587,778
Department of the Navy	\$2,909,887,033
United States Mint	\$1,950,014,804
Missile Defense Agency	\$879,388,389

### **Top 5 Prime Award Contractors**

Raytheon Company	\$3,861,829,337
Coins 'N Things INC.	\$1,417,153,268
General Electric Company	\$1,375,175,210
General Dynamics Corporation	\$1,322,725,942
Massachusetts Institute of Technology	\$811,916,885

### **Top 5 Contract Sub-Awardees**


Covidien	\$59,387,493
KEYW Corporation	\$51,976,873
Mercury Systems	\$49,849,611
Raytheon Company	\$30,451,875
Cobham Electronic Systems	\$16,175,408

SOURCE: <http://www.usaspending.gov>, Federal Fiscal Year Oct 1-Sept 30.


NOTE: Reported as of July 25, 2014.

**State Revenues.** Taxes collected by all states in FY 2013 totaled \$846.2 billion, 6.5 percent more than FY 2012. Massachusetts collected \$23.9 billion in FY 2013, 4.8 percent more than it did in FY 2012. Massachusetts ranked 10th in the nation in total taxes collected in 2012 and 2013, up from 11th in 2009 and 2011.

In fiscal year 2013, the average state collected 83 percent of its revenue from individual income tax and sales and gross receipts tax. Massachusetts collected most of its revenue, 53.9 percent, from individual income tax while the average U.S. state collected 46.4 percent of its revenue from sales and gross receipts tax. Individual income taxes accounted for 86 percent of Massachusetts's \$1.1 billion new revenue in 2013 and 57 percent of the average state. Seven states do not have an individual income tax.



**State Lottery Proceeds.** Massachusetts ranked second in revenue, \$4.46 billion, and prize money awarded, \$3.40 billion, among the 43 states with lotteries in FY 2012. Massachusetts lottery revenue increased 7.1 percent from the previous year.



**State Expenditures.** The following table and graph depict fiscal 2012 per capita state government general expenditures by category for the six New England states and the U.S. average state expenditure. Massachusetts ranked 6th in the nation in per capita expenditures, \$8,500 in 2012, while it ranked 9th and spent \$7,954 in 2011. This represents a 6.9 percent increase in per capita expenditures from 2011 to 2012, with the largest per capita dollar increase in the Public Welfare function.


**Massachusetts Per Capita State Government General Expenditures, by Type**

General expenditures, by function	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
Education	\$1,055	\$1,183	\$1,346	\$1,401	\$1,640	\$1,649	\$1,735	\$1,814	\$1,867	\$1,948
Public Welfare	\$824	\$1,647	\$1,719	\$1,843	\$1,877	\$1,952	\$2,030	\$2,103	\$2,227	\$2,285
Health & Hospitals	\$372	\$172	\$177	\$187	\$227	\$236	\$237	\$235	\$246	\$241
Highways	\$378	\$471	\$276	\$261	\$267	\$346	\$294	\$298	\$289	\$310
Police & Corrections	\$230	\$215	\$222	\$248	\$274	\$293	\$294	\$279	\$274	\$277
Natural Resource, Parks & Recreation	\$95	\$90	\$76	\$81	\$87	\$89	\$96	\$91	\$89	\$81
Administration, Insurance Trust & Other*	\$1,755	\$1,815	\$1,660	\$1,690	\$1,890	\$1,901	\$2,126	\$2,558	\$2,488	\$2,894
Interest on General Debt	\$386	\$401	\$435	\$487	\$518	\$558	\$561	\$522	\$474	\$464
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,095</b>	<b>\$5,994</b>	<b>\$5,911</b>	<b>\$6,198</b>	<b>\$6,779</b>	<b>\$7,023</b>	<b>\$7,373</b>	<b>\$7,901</b>	<b>\$7,954</b>	<b>\$8,500</b>

**Fiscal 2012 Per Capita State Government General Expenditures, by Type**  
(for the U.S. and the New England States)

General expenditures, by function	U.S.	CT	ME	MA	NH	RI	VT
Education	\$1,876	\$1,871	\$1,565	\$1,948	\$1,597	\$1,859	\$3,741
Public Welfare	\$1,559	\$1,873	\$2,197	\$2,285	\$1,333	\$2,217	\$2,393
Health & Hospitals	\$414	\$622	\$415	\$241	\$106	\$219	\$319
Highways	\$362	\$300	\$465	\$310	\$401	\$334	\$886
Police & Corrections	\$200	\$255	\$158	\$277	\$128	\$237	\$344
Natural Resource, Parks & Recreation	\$88	\$68	\$142	\$81	\$71	\$87	\$163
Administration, Insurance Trust & Other*	\$1,650	\$2,483	\$1,685	\$2,894	\$1,685	\$2,491	\$1,475
Interest on General Debt	\$151	\$426	\$183	\$464	\$297	\$484	\$200
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$6,298</b>	<b>\$7,899</b>	<b>\$6,810</b>	<b>\$8,500</b>	<b>\$5,617</b>	<b>\$7,927</b>	<b>\$9,520</b>
State's rank of total per capita expenditures		10	19	6	32	9	2

**Fiscal 2012 Per Capita State Government General Expenditures, by Type**  
(U.S. and the New England States)




SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau, Governments Division. \*Other includes utility, liquor store, other and unallocable expenditures.

*Supplementary Data Section.* The following appendix contains more detailed data for industry sectors or subsectors.

**Building Permits.** The Census Bureau's Residential Construction Branch Building Permits Survey is a leading economic indicator used to track the housing industry.


<i>Housing Permits Authorized</i>						
Year	Massachusetts		New England		United States	
	Total Units	Percent Change Previous Year	Total Units	Percent Change Previous Year	Total Units	Percent Change Previous Year
1970	38,330	14.2%	74,068	5.0%	1,354,746	1.8%
1975	17,697	-27.5%	41,645	-21.0%	934,511	-12.4%
1980	16,055	-20.4%	40,195	-25.1%	1,171,763	-23.6%
1985	39,360	38.2%	96,832	33.8%	1,732,335	2.5%
1990	15,276	-29.4%	36,811	-31.2%	1,125,583	-16.3%
1995	15,946	-12.9%	37,357	-7.7%	1,335,835	-2.3%
1996	17,360	8.9%	40,425	8.2%	1,419,083	6.2%
1997	17,554	1.1%	42,047	4.0%	1,442,251	1.6%
1998	18,958	8.0%	47,342	12.6%	1,619,500	12.3%
1999	18,967	0.0%	47,632	0.6%	1,663,533	2.7%
2000	18,000	-5.1%	45,335	-4.8%	1,592,267	-4.3%
2001	17,034	-5.4%	44,594	-1.6%	1,636,676	2.8%
2002	17,465	2.5%	49,031	9.9%	1,747,678	6.8%
2003	20,257	16.0%	52,395	6.9%	1,889,214	8.1%
2004	22,477	11.0%	57,858	10.4%	2,070,077	9.6%
2005	24,549	9.2%	58,742	1.5%	2,155,316	4.1%
2006	19,580	-20.2%	46,782	-20.4%	1,838,903	-14.7%
2007	15,358	-21.6%	37,532	-19.8%	1,398,415	-24.0%
2008	9,883	-35.6%	24,454	-34.8%	905,359	-35.3%
2009	7,941	-19.6%	19,463	-20.4%	582,963	-35.6%
2010	9,075	14.3%	20,964	7.7%	604,610	3.7%
2011	7,725	-14.9%	17,987	-14.2%	624,061	3.2%
2012	11,111	43.8%	23,109	28.5%	829,658	32.9%
2013	14,569	31.1%	28,635	23.9%	990,822	19.4%



SOURCE: United States Census Bureau. April 2014. Reported data plus data imputed for non-reporters & partial reporters.

**Home Sales.** Sales of existing single-family homes for Massachusetts and the U.S. are presented in the following table and graph. The state existing-home sales report includes single-family houses, condos and co-ops.


<i>Sales of Existing Homes United States, National Regions and Massachusetts</i>						
<b>Year</b>	<b>U.S.</b>	<b>Northeast</b>	<b>Midwest</b>	<b>South</b>	<b>West</b>	<b>Massachusetts</b>
1990	3,184,000	583,000	864,000	1,088,000	649,000	25,691
1991	3,146,000	596,000	867,000	1,070,000	633,000	31,379
1992	3,431,000	667,000	967,000	1,127,000	680,000	38,847
1993	3,737,000	714,000	1,031,000	1,257,000	745,000	43,588
1994	3,884,000	728,000	1,031,000	1,313,000	822,000	45,238
1995	3,849,000	725,000	1,010,000	1,312,000	812,000	43,509
1996	4,167,000	776,000	1,056,000	1,393,000	942,000	51,857
1997	4,374,000	813,000	1,084,000	1,466,000	1,001,000	56,107
1998	4,965,000	905,000	1,219,000	1,722,000	1,129,000	62,549
1999	5,179,000	908,000	1,245,000	1,854,000	1,182,000	62,662
2000	5,173,000	915,000	1,226,000	1,867,000	1,175,000	59,602
2001	5,335,000	910,000	1,274,000	1,965,000	1,186,000	57,665
2002	5,634,000	950,000	1,347,000	2,062,000	1,265,000	60,661
2003	6,176,000	1,020,000	1,473,000	2,283,000	1,410,000	61,979
2004	6,778,000	1,111,000	1,549,000	2,540,000	1,578,000	70,342
2005	7,080,000	1,168,000	1,591,000	2,707,000	1,614,000	73,887
2006	6,477,000	1,087,000	1,484,000	2,562,000	1,344,000	64,126
2007	5,030,000	720,000	1,190,000	2,060,000	1,060,000	61,299
2008	4,110,000	570,000	950,000	1,600,000	990,000	51,766
2009	4,340,000	590,000	980,000	1,640,000	1,130,000	52,992
2010	4,190,000	570,000	910,000	1,630,000	1,080,000	52,413
2011	4,260,000	540,000	910,000	1,680,000	1,130,000	52,902
2012	4,660,000	590,000	1,070,000	1,840,000	1,160,000	64,254
2013	5,090,000	660,000	1,200,000	2,050,000	1,190,000	69,423



SOURCE: National Association of Realtors.



**Home prices.** Massachusetts sales prices are much higher than the national median but they are 20 percent less than the 2007 peak.

<i>Median Sales Price of Existing Homes United States, National Regions and Massachusetts</i>						
Year	U.S.	Northeast	Midwest	South	West	Massachusetts*
1990	\$96,400	\$141,400	\$76,300	\$84,700	\$138,600	\$181,225
1991	\$101,400	\$143,600	\$80,500	\$88,100	\$144,500	\$168,895
1992	\$104,000	\$142,600	\$84,200	\$91,100	\$141,200	\$163,291
1993	\$107,200	\$142,100	\$87,000	\$93,700	\$141,800	\$162,854
1994	\$111,300	\$141,500	\$90,600	\$94,900	\$149,200	\$167,475
1995	\$114,600	\$138,500	\$96,100	\$96,900	\$150,600	\$171,702
1996	\$119,900	\$139,600	\$102,300	\$102,400	\$157,100	\$178,536
1997	\$126,100	\$143,600	\$108,200	\$108,400	\$165,700	\$187,213
1998	\$132,800	\$147,300	\$115,600	\$115,000	\$175,900	\$200,870
1999	\$138,000	\$150,500	\$121,000	\$118,900	\$185,400	\$223,593
2000	\$143,600	\$149,800	\$125,300	\$126,300	\$194,600	\$261,293
2001	\$153,100	\$158,700	\$132,500	\$135,500	\$207,000	\$286,277
2002	\$164,900	\$179,300	\$139,300	\$146,000	\$230,000	\$318,649
2003	\$178,800	\$210,000	\$145,600	\$156,700	\$251,800	\$346,210
2004	\$195,400	\$243,800	\$154,600	\$170,400	\$286,400	\$392,241
2005	\$219,600	\$271,300	\$170,600	\$181,700	\$335,300	\$401,548
2006	\$221,900	\$271,900	\$167,800	\$183,700	\$342,700	\$398,753
2007	\$219,000	\$279,100	#N/A	\$179,300	\$335,100	\$407,826
2008	\$198,100	\$266,400	\$154,100	\$169,200	\$271,500	\$367,182
2009	\$172,500	\$240,500	\$144,100	\$153,000	\$211,100	\$346,921
2010	\$173,100	\$243,900	\$140,800	\$153,700	\$220,700	\$372,439
2011	\$166,100	\$237,500	\$135,400	\$144,200	\$201,300	\$295,000
2012	\$176,800	\$237,700	\$142,700	\$154,000	\$230,100	\$297,750
2013	\$197,100	\$249,100	\$154,600	\$170,700	\$273,100	\$325,000




SOURCE: National Association of Realtors. \*Note: Massachusetts 2011 and 2012 are for single family only. p= preliminary.


**Electricity Prices, Supply and Capacity by Source.** Massachusetts had the seventh highest electric rate in the country in 2012, 13.79 cents per kilowatt hour, while the U.S. average was 9.84. This was a decrease of 2.3 percent for Massachusetts and an increase of 0.6 percent for the U.S. from the previous year. Massachusetts electric utilities generated \$7.6 billion in revenue in 2012. This was 2.7 percent less than in 2011, while they sold 4.9 percent less electricity. The Massachusetts Net Electricity Trade Index, which represents the state's electricity self-sufficiency, was 0.61 in 2012, the latest data available. This means that Massachusetts imported 39 percent of its electricity supply, 23.8 megawatt hours, from out-of-state. In 2012, 68 percent of Massachusetts electricity was generated by burning natural gas, our top fuel source for power generation.




**Electric Power Generated in Massachusetts  
by Primary Energy Source 2002**



**Electric Power Generated in Massachusetts  
by Primary Energy Source 2012**



**Electric Power Net Summer Capacity by Primary Energy Source**



SOURCE: U.S. Dept. of Energy, <http://www.eia.doe.gov/>; state electricity profiles.

**Other Renewables** includes wood, black liquor, other wood waste, municipal solid waste, landfill gas, sludge waste, agriculture byproducts, other biomass, geothermal, solar thermal, photovoltaic energy, and wind. **Other** includes batteries, chemicals, hydrogen, pitch, purchased steam, sulfur, tire-derived fuels and misc. technologies.

**Travel and Tourism.** The Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism (MOTT) reported a 4.4 percent decrease in museum and attraction attendance, 11.3 million visitors in 2013 compared to 2012. For the first four months of 2014, museum attendance was up 8.5 percent compared to the same time last year. For April FY 2014 year-to-date, net room occupancy tax collections totaled \$136.7 million, an 7.0 percent increase from the same time in FY 2013.

**Transportation and Warehousing.** Massachusetts' major air and seaports are managed by the Massachusetts Port Authority (Massport), an independent public authority. Based on preliminary total passenger volume in calendar year 2013 data, Logan Airport was the most active airport in New England, remaining the 18th most active in the U.S. according to the Federal Aviation Authority. Massport reported that as of June 2014 year-to-date, total airport flight operations were up 1.5 percent and total airport passengers were up 4.4 percent from the same period in 2013. According to the FAA, in calendar year 2013, preliminary data ranked Logan Airport 24th in the nation in total air cargo volume. In 2013, Massport reported the airport handled 538 million pounds of cargo; a 1.2 percent decrease from 2012. Massport reported that as of June 2014 year-to-date, the combined cargo volume was up 5.4 percent and total express mail was up 5.8 percent from the same period in 2013. Please refer to the Aviation Activity charts on the following page.

Massport's Port of Boston properties processed 164,358 full TEUs (twenty-foot equivalent units) of containerized cargo in 2013, an increase of 6.0 percent from calendar year 2012. It also processed 51,669 automobiles, an increase of 26.0 percent and 382,885 cruise passengers, a 1.0 percent increase, compared to 2012.

The Army Corps of Engineers reported Massachusetts total waterborne cargo shipped or received in 2012 decreased by 1.8 percent to 15.1 million short tons from 2011. Waterborne cargo in New England decreased 12.7 percent while the U.S. decreased 2.6 percent. Please refer to the Waterborne Tonnage by State charts on the following page.

**Aviation Activity for Massachusetts' Primary Airports**

Passenger Boardings	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Logan International	13,214,923	13,544,552	13,783,297	12,820,489	12,566,797	13,561,814	14,180,730	14,293,675	14,721,693
Nantucket Memorial	252,757	276,866	282,197	258,214	204,981	201,390	169,352	178,918	184,618
Barnstable Municipal	177,761	206,980	204,152	191,906	138,858	124,560	100,596	95,693	88,055
Marthas Vineyard	48,977	45,881	49,205	45,002	42,248	43,904	49,095	50,464	56,763
New Bedford Regional	17,960	15,211	14,567	13,908	11,680	12,363	11,152	12,254	10,604
Provincetown Municipal	10,236	11,375	12,459	11,468	10,747	11,450	10,967	11,577	11,288
Worcester Regional	2,036	14,823	460	3,182	17,241	35,833	53,541	10,746	8,007
Hanscom Field	13,887	14,560	16,568	8,385	7,350	7,952	10,893	9,963	8,798
Total	13,738,537	14,130,248	14,362,905	13,352,554	12,999,902	13,806,666	14,586,326	14,663,290	15,089,826

Cargo - Gross Landed Weight (lbs.)	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Logan International	1,148,881,400	1,100,485,850	1,059,947,900	984,258,400	835,954,035	817,235,460	819,986,332	780,913,850	859,932,330

**Change in Aviation Activity at Massachusetts' Primary Airports**

Passenger Boardings	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013
Logan International	3.6%	2.5%	1.8%	-7.0%	-2.0%	7.9%	4.6%	0.8%	3.0%
Nantucket Memorial	3.9%	9.5%	1.9%	-8.5%	-20.6%	-1.8%	-15.9%	5.6%	3.2%
Barnstable Municipal	6.1%	16.4%	-1.4%	-6.0%	-27.6%	-10.3%	-19.2%	-4.9%	-8.0%
Marthas Vineyard	-1.0%	-6.3%	7.2%	-8.5%	-6.1%	3.9%	11.8%	2.8%	12.5%
New Bedford Regional	-8.8%	-15.3%	-4.2%	-4.5%	-16.0%	5.8%	-9.8%	9.9%	-13.5%
Provincetown Municipal	-10.4%	11.1%	9.5%	-8.0%	-6.3%	6.5%	-4.2%	5.6%	-2.5%
Worcester Regional	59.8%	628.0%	-96.9%	591.7%	441.8%	107.8%	49.4%	-79.9%	-25.5%
Hanscom Field	-18.5%	4.8%	13.8%	-49.4%	-12.3%	8.2%	37.0%	-8.5%	-11.7%
Total	3.5%	2.9%	1.6%	-7.0%	-2.6%	6.2%	5.6%	0.5%	2.9%

Cargo	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013
Logan International	-2.0%	-4.2%	-3.7%	-7.1%	-15.1%	-2.2%	0.3%	-4.8%	10.1%

SOURCE: Federal Aviation Administration June 23, 2014.

[http://www.faa.gov/airports\\_airtraffic/airports/planning\\_capacity/passenger\\_allcargo\\_stats/](http://www.faa.gov/airports_airtraffic/airports/planning_capacity/passenger_allcargo_stats/).

**Waterborne Tonnage by State (In Units of 1,000 Tons)**


State	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
U.S. total	2,394,199	2,551,939	2,527,622	2,588,440	2,563,972	2,477,094	2,210,752	2,334,399	2,367,484	2,306,770
Massachusetts	30,655	31,787	28,812	27,411	28,043	25,993	25,018	22,661	15,411	15,127
Maine	31,698	32,447	32,353	28,103	26,839	24,747	22,996	20,907	20,646	17,298
Connecticut	18,579	20,075	19,617	19,340	20,148	18,196	16,767	16,229	12,977	10,645
Rhode Island	9,417	9,764	10,972	11,016	11,184	10,517	8,404	8,315	8,378	7,567
New Hampshire	4,971	4,795	5,254	4,823	4,026	3,833	3,583	2,964	3,347	2,419
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New England	95,320	98,868	97,008	90,693	90,240	83,286	76,768	71,076	60,759	53,056

**Waterborne Tonnage by State - Percent Change from Previous Year**

State	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012
U.S. total	2.3%	6.6%	-1.0%	2.4%	-0.9%	-3.4%	-10.8%	5.6%	1.4%	-2.6%
Massachusetts	17.4%	3.7%	-9.4%	-4.9%	2.3%	-7.3%	-3.8%	-9.4%	-32.0%	-1.8%
Maine	8.8%	2.4%	-0.3%	-13.1%	-4.5%	-7.8%	-7.1%	-9.1%	-1.2%	-16.2%
Connecticut	5.5%	8.1%	-2.3%	-1.4%	4.2%	-9.7%	-7.9%	-3.2%	-20.0%	-18.0%
Rhode Island	11.6%	3.7%	12.4%	0.4%	1.5%	-6.0%	-20.1%	-1.1%	0.8%	-9.7%
New Hampshire	21.0%	-3.5%	9.6%	-8.2%	-16.5%	-4.8%	-6.5%	-17.3%	12.9%	-27.7%
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New England	11.6%	3.7%	-1.9%	-6.5%	-0.5%	-7.7%	-7.8%	-7.4%	-14.5%	-12.7%

SOURCE: Army Corps of Engineers, Waterborne Commerce Statistics Center (WCSC) January 2014, <http://www.navigationdatacenter.us/wcsc/wcsc.htm>.

### Massachusetts Annual Exports



SOURCE: <http://www.wisertrade.org>, data from U.S. Census Bureau Foreign, Trade Division.

### Massachusetts Top Export Partners

(top ten export destinations ranked by value of 2013 exports, in millions)

Country	2002	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Canada	\$2,711	\$2,917	\$2,927	\$3,166	\$3,480	\$3,907	\$3,086	\$3,244	\$3,796	\$3,474	\$3,680
China	\$385	\$894	\$884	\$1,291	\$1,375	\$1,563	\$1,372	\$2,195	\$2,084	\$1,877	\$1,978
Mexico	\$569	\$755	\$782	\$824	\$996	\$1,163	\$902	\$1,273	\$1,434	\$1,608	\$1,860
Germany	\$1,198	\$2,516	\$2,151	\$2,515	\$2,370	\$2,489	\$1,750	\$1,872	\$2,038	\$1,803	\$1,852
Japan	\$1,598	\$1,814	\$1,893	\$2,287	\$2,166	\$2,427	\$1,717	\$2,045	\$2,034	\$1,993	\$1,762
Hong Kong	\$382	\$523	\$507	\$561	\$579	\$590	\$525	\$668	\$748	\$709	\$1,762
United Kingdom	\$1,579	\$1,508	\$1,628	\$1,972	\$2,306	\$3,504	\$4,049	\$3,214	\$3,225	\$2,589	\$1,404
Netherlands	\$1,054	\$2,505	\$3,000	\$2,696	\$2,215	\$2,675	\$1,982	\$1,695	\$1,104	\$1,076	\$1,291
Switzerland	\$194	\$278	\$269	\$237	\$211	\$446	\$362	\$471	\$560	\$434	\$1,281
Korea, Republic Of	\$471	\$650	\$803	\$875	\$821	\$666	\$624	\$893	\$1,026	\$1,030	\$902
Total Exports, Top Destinations	\$10,141	\$14,359	\$14,842	\$16,425	\$16,519	\$19,431	\$16,368	\$17,569	\$18,051	\$16,594	\$17,773
All other countries	\$6,246	\$7,540	\$7,210	\$7,632	\$8,833	\$8,938	\$7,225	\$8,736	\$9,697	\$9,019	\$9,025
Total Exports	\$16,705	\$21,899	\$22,052	\$24,057	\$25,351	\$28,369	\$23,593	\$26,305	\$27,748	\$25,613	\$26,798
Change from Prior Year	-14.7%	17.4%	0.7%	9.1%	5.4%	11.9%	-16.8%	11.5%	5.5%	-7.7%	4.6%

### Value of International Shipments from Massachusetts

(top ten industry groups ranked by value of latest exports, in millions)

Major Industry Group/3-Digit NAICS	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
Computer And Electronic Products	\$7,519	\$7,012	\$7,513	\$7,711	\$7,821	\$6,600	\$7,488	\$7,681	\$7,310	\$7,262
Chemicals	\$4,910	\$5,285	\$5,189	\$5,258	\$5,515	\$3,863	\$3,626	\$3,361	\$3,447	\$3,628
Miscellaneous Manufactured Commodities	\$1,930	\$2,114	\$2,240	\$2,319	\$3,120	\$2,737	\$3,046	\$3,181	\$3,054	\$3,285
Machinery, Except Electrical	\$2,447	\$2,311	\$2,739	\$2,881	\$2,615	\$2,008	\$3,454	\$3,629	\$3,388	\$3,075
Primary Metal Manufacturing	\$423	\$405	\$647	\$982	\$2,052	\$2,735	\$2,398	\$2,736	\$1,947	\$2,841
Transportation Equipment	\$461	\$485	\$557	\$932	\$1,237	\$1,107	\$1,059	\$1,115	\$1,105	\$1,088
Fabricated Metal Products, Nesoi	\$621	\$664	\$679	\$615	\$721	\$605	\$809	\$863	\$734	\$804
Electrical Equipment, Appliances, And Component	\$752	\$810	\$871	\$769	\$624	\$508	\$558	\$677	\$717	\$801
Waste And Scrap	\$326	\$330	\$597	\$849	\$1,373	\$666	\$654	\$1,099	\$784	\$743
Plastics And Rubber Products	\$404	\$470	\$530	\$582	\$587	\$563	\$751	\$809	\$676	\$664
Total Exports, Top Massachusetts Industries	\$19,792	\$19,884	\$21,563	\$22,899	\$25,664	\$21,392	\$23,842	\$25,150	\$23,162	\$24,191
All other exports	\$2,107	\$2,168	\$2,494	\$2,453	\$2,705	\$2,202	\$2,463	\$2,598	\$2,450	\$2,607
Total Exports	\$21,899	\$22,052	\$24,057	\$25,351	\$28,369	\$23,593	\$26,305	\$27,748	\$25,613	\$26,798

SOURCE: United States Department of Commerce and WISERTrade.org.

# Sources

Listed below are the web sites of the original data sources used to compile Exhibit A. For more information contact UMass Donahue Institute Economic Public Policy Research  
Web: <http://www.massbenchmarks.org> Email: [msdc-info@donahue.umassp.edu](mailto:msdc-info@donahue.umassp.edu) Tel: 413-577-2415

## Introduction

**American Human Development Project**  
<http://www.measureofamerica.org/>

## Population Characteristics

**U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census**  
<http://www.census.gov>  
**U.S. Internal Revenue Service**  
<http://www.irs.gov>

## Personal Income, Consumer Prices, and Poverty

**U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis**  
<http://www.bea.gov/regional/index.htm>  
**U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics**  
<http://www.bls.gov>  
**The Conference Board, Inc.**  
<http://www.conference-board.org>  
**Mass Insight Corporation**  
<http://www.massinsight.com/index.asp>  
**U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census**  
<http://www.census.gov>

## Employment

**Mass. Executive Office of Labor and Workforce Development, Division of Unemployment Assistance**  
<http://lmi2.detma.org/Lmi/LMIDataProg.asp>  
**U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics**  
<http://www.bls.gov/data/home.htm>

## Economic Base and Performance

**U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis**  
<http://www.bea.gov/national/index.htm#gdp>  
**Fortune Magazine**  
<http://www.fortune.com/fortune/>

## Economic Base and Performance - Sector Detail (NAICS Basis)

**U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis**  
<http://www.bea.gov/regional/index.htm>  
**U.S. Census Bureau, Foreign Trade Division. Prepared by the World Institute for Strategic Economic Research (WISER)**  
<http://www.wisertrade.org>

## Massport

<http://www.massport.com>

**Airports Council International**  
<http://www.aci.aero>

## Federal Aviation Administration

[http://www.faa.gov/airports\\_airtraffic/airports/planning\\_capacity/passenger\\_allcargo\\_stats/](http://www.faa.gov/airports_airtraffic/airports/planning_capacity/passenger_allcargo_stats/)

## Army Corps of Engineers

<http://www.navigationdatacenter.us/wcsc/wcsc.htm>

## Federal Reserve Bank of Boston

<http://www.bos.frb.org>

## U.S. Department of Commerce

<http://www.census.gov>

## National Association of Realtors

<http://www.realtor.org/>

<http://www.marealtor.com/content/>

## Massachusetts Office of Travel and Tourism

<http://www.massvacation.com>

## U.S. Census Bureau, Governments Division

<http://www.census.gov/govs/www/statetax.html>

<http://www.census.gov/govs/www/state.html>

## U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Consolidated Federal Funds Report

<http://www.census.gov/govs/www/cffr.html>

## Federal Spending - contract, grant, and other award data

<http://www.usaspending.gov>

## Large employers by sector

<http://www.referenceusa.com/Home/Home>

## Human Resources and Infrastructure

### U.S. Census Bureau

<http://www.census.gov/acs/www/>

### Massachusetts Department of Higher Education

<http://www.mass.edu/campuses/facts.asp>

### New England Board of Higher Education

<http://www.nebhe.org.connection.html>

### National Science Foundation

<http://www.nsf.gov/statistics>

### U.S. Department of Education, National Center for

### Education Statistics

<http://nces.ed.gov>

### Institute of International Education

<http://www.iese.org>

### U.S. Patent Office

<http://www.uspto.gov>